

MEETING MINUTES

City of Boulder and University of Colorado Coordination on S. Boulder Creek Flood Mitigation/ CU South Annexation-IGA Next Steps

Meeting Date: November 14, 2018 2:30-4:00pm

Location: Boulder Main Library, 1001 Arapahoe Ave. - Flagstaff Room

These meeting minutes present a summary of items discussed during the November 14, 2018 meeting between the City of Boulder (City) and the University of Colorado (CU) for the South Boulder Creek Regional Detention Preliminary Design and CU South Annexation Project (Project). This is a summary of the meeting and is not intended to be a verbatim account of what transpired at the meeting. Key discussion items have been grouped based on the meeting agenda.

Meeting Objective: To discuss the annexation application process and anticipated timeline.

Attendees:

University of Colorado Staff and Consultants:

- Frances Draper, Vice Chancellor (VC) for Strategic Relations and Communications
- Kim Calomino, Director of Local Government and Community Relations
- Derek Silva, Executive Director of Real Estate Services
- Danica Powell, Trestle Strategy Group

City of Boulder Staff:

- Jim Robertson, Comprehensive Planning Manager
- David Gehr, Deputy City Attorney
- Molly Scarbrough, Senior Project Manager, Public Works Dept.
- Philip Kleisler, Planner II, Planning and Sustainability Dept.

Key Discussion Items

1. Approval of 11/1/18 minutes.

2. Annexation application process and timeline

- CU Staff stated their interest in submitting an annexation application for CU South in January 2019 and would like a council decision by June or July 2019.
- Phil Kleisler noted that council agreed on an annexation process map for board and community engagement at the Oct. 9 study session. It would be difficult to complete all of the stages of the process outlined at the Oct. 9 study session by July 2019. However, as the applicant CU can request an alternate schedule.
- City staff discussed the need to take the Guiding Principles and turn it into contract language for the annexation agreement. Where CU can provide specificity in the application it will

help the application review process move more quickly. City staff will be interested in specifying in the agreement how the city would measure compliance of future development with the intent of the Guiding Principles, in lieu of having a site plan to review with the annexation application.

- CU staff indicated that the Oct. 1 letter provided the basis for the terms CU would be looking for in an annexation agreement, but understood the city's interest in providing more clarity around those terms.

3. Next Steps

- Phil Kliesler will meet with CU staff to begin identifying areas where city review staff would be looking for performance measures or greater specificity around topics in CU's Oct. 1 letter or the Guiding Principles. Phil and Derek Silva will identify a date and location for this topic-specific meeting.
- Kim and Molly will identify dates and locations for the 2019 monthly City-CU meetings.