

# CU South / South Boulder Creek - Process Subcommittee

## Meeting Agenda and Notes

Friday, March 27, 2020

8:00 a.m.– 9:00 a.m.

Online Zoom Meeting with video or by phone

---

**Facilitator:** Jean Gatza

**Note-taker:** Holly Opansky

**Committee Members:** Council member, Rachel Friend and Council member and Mayor Sam Weaver

**Staff:** Margo Aldrich, Joanna Bloom, Dan Burke, Brandon Coleman, David Gehr, Sarah Huntley, Philip Kleisler, John Potter, Jim Robertson, Gerrit Slatter, and Joe Taddeucci

### **Attendees from the public:**

Ben Binder, Raymon Bridge, Francis Draper, Mike Duffy, John Gerstle, Hal Hallstein, Karen Hollweg, Ida Mae Isaac, Dave Kuntz, Glenn Legendre, Marki LaCompte, Gordon McCurry, Jim McMillan, Scott Rudge, Kirk Vincent, Bill Williams (and telephone numbers 303-886-7083 303-520-1349 and Owner iPhone)

## **Agenda and Notes**

---

### **COVID impacts to the schedule**

- This was the first meeting of the process subcommittee since the COVID-19 measures have been in place and it was the first time hosting a virtual meeting. Joe Taddeucci welcomed attendees and explained the purpose of the meeting, which was to adapt the schedule and the method of interaction with staff, council members and the public.
- Joe Taddeucci offered the following three scheduling options for the next City Council meeting on this topic: 1) stay on the existing May 19 schedule 2) delay the schedule by a month to recalibrate, or 3) pause the schedule for a longer period of time. The group agreed to delay the schedule by a month and continue to proceed with arranging the sequence of board meetings and City Council meetings with the suggested dates:
  - April 20 and/or May 18 - Water Resources Advisory Board (WRAB)
  - May 7 - Planning Board (PB)
  - May 13 or reschedule the June 10 meeting - Open Space and Mountain Parks (OSMP)
  - June 16 - City Council

- Staff was encouraged to schedule upcoming meetings so the group and public could plan to attend, with 11 a.m. on Fridays being a preferred time.
  - Staff was encouraged to help the public be aware of this sequence of meetings, either by sharing a calendar appointment with interested people and placing the information on project webpages.
- 

### **Digital platform for subcommittee meetings**

- The group agreed the Zoom cloud-based video conferencing platform, especially since it has a phone-in option, is a good platform to use for this Process Subcommittee Meetings going forward.
- 

### **Community engagement prior to next City Council meeting, including schedule**

- Allow for space for community members to share their flood design perspectives. Determine “buckets” of topics at the Apr 3 process subcommittee meeting and then do breakouts around those buckets during additional public participation prior to June City Council meeting.
- Since the June 16 City Council meeting will address flood topics, Rachel Friend requested information leading up to that meeting would focus on flood topics (and not as much on annexation).
- There will be three board meetings with public participation at each advisory board meeting (Planning, Open Space, and Water Resources).
- Investigate ways to remotely “tour” the site through video and/or social media in addition to in person tours with OSBT members if possible
- It was suggested that the Monday, March 30 Council Agenda Committee (CAC) meeting and information be available for the group and the public, either by offering a video conferencing option, or communicating about any adjustments that may affect this meeting’s discussion.
- At the next meeting on Friday, April 3, Sam Weaver requested several agenda items: content strategy; devising a way to place topics in buckets; and to revisit the guiding principles to view the annexation. The group agreed to address the public engagement planning.

---

## Public Comment

- Ben Binder, suggested a webpage that had all questions and answers for these projects be posted ahead of time in order to enhance digital public engagement.
- Francis Draper, University of Colorado, agreed that the university supports delaying the process for a month; and utilizing creativity in developing public engagement with the goal of obtaining meaningful information needed in order to reach an annexation agreement that meets the needs of all.
- Mike Duffy, expressed interest in finding out if the groundwater model is available and the cost / benefit for levee removal have been finalized and could be shared with the public.
- John Gerstle, Planning Board, no comment
- Hal Hallstein, OSBT, expressed interest in clarifying when the environmental mitigation plan would be addressed and what funding resources there are
- Karen Hollweg, expressed interest in having a site-visit before the OSBT (April or May) meeting.
- Dave Kuntz, good to push schedule back by a month
- Marki LaCompte, supported disentangling flood and annexation issues so they may be addressed individually.
- Gordon McCurry, WRAB, expressed interest in hearing the answers to the questions raised at the OSBT's September 2019 meeting, specifically interested in about the out-flow pipe going into Viele Channel and how that will connect to SBC
- Jim McMillan, expressed concern about separating the topics of flood and annexation, as the two are inherently intertwined.
- Kirk Vincent, no comments
- Bill Williams, expressed concern about water getting into the CU property.