Key Themes, Questions, Concerns From Community...

- affordability
- more unrelated adults, attached dus, more options + ability to downsize
- theme = lifestyle context
- network of CAREGIVERS NEEDED
- concern of College student vs. aging in place
- transportation must be part of solution
- one-stop shopping (i.e. doctor in house) security

Key Themes

- lack of flexibility in zoning
- neighborhood meeting → w/ ADUs, COOPS etc
  → what is the enforcement mechanism
- (SPEAKER) "coziness" as stand in for density
  - ex/s NOT RELEVANT b/c all low-income different demographics here in Boulder
- People representing neighborhoods expressed "fear" or distrust of greater flexibility + change
- less anxiety when idea of test areas DISCUSSED
- neighborhood integrity vs. change
-

KEY THEMES, QUESTIONS, CONCERNS

- MANY SENIORS OPEN TO NEW IDEAS + DIFFERENT SOLUTIONS VS. LIVING ALONE

- MIXED-AGED GROUPS + INTERGENERATIONAL LIVING

FACT SHEET

- Preference data & evolution of thinking as HHs age
- neighborhood experience of preference
  - Can't afford to leave
- What are the impediments to choice?
  put energy toward city barriers
  increase options & flexibility ↓
  working group task
  example: 6-person occupancy for seniors
  ↓
  lots of opposition
  ↓
  its more than regulation △
- need to show clear monitoring
- working group can be myopic
  onion layers are down the road

FACT SHEET

- Golden opportunity not "Silver Tsunami"
- Lots of OPPORTUNITIES of KEEPING SENIORS IN THE COMMUNITY (volunteerism etc.)
- University-based senior housing
- themed senior housing
- add income by age by tenure
- 2010 comp plan goal Policy 7.03
- census data
- resident mobility by age

Who have WE SERVED?

How are we doing? accomplishments

DRCOG

- map by age group (State Demographer)

FACT SHEET

- maybe we can identify items that will work for 80%
- pilots help (MetLife, AARP)
- lots of additional ideas nationally

TOOL SCREENING

TOOLKIT → version: January 26

{ Send link to January 26th v. to W.G. }

DEADLINE

TIONS, CONCERNS FROM

Y ...

ives

inancial
stability issues
linked

port

What Perspectives Are Missing?

- Renter (specific to aging in place)
- Non owners – How to address needs?

Coop language
City code only allows owner coops

Requires some outreach

- Caregiver perspective
- Very old = most needy (best able to get from caregivers & adult children)

misperception of desires of oldest citizens

Dilemma – want independence & may need support

- Housing providers
Housing providers not able to build

Ke

- Ma
ide
vs.

- Mix
era

MISSING PERSPECTIVES

- DEVELOPERS
- SECTION 8 HOUSING (POTENTIAL) OF THE ORGANISM
- TECHNOLOGY AS SUPPORT

FINANCIAL + VISIBILITY ISSUES ARE LINKED

WHAT P

- RENTE
- NON CU

COOP LANDL CITY CO GOOD GUIDE

- COOP CONCE
- VERY OLD.

- HOUSING POWER

- PROVIDES CHOICE ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓
- CONTEXT SENSITIVE ✓
- broad community support ✓ if approached locally, not community wide
- Consistent w/ other goals
  - Solve multiple issues
- ✓ preservation
- diverse housing options
- proven effective
- incomes & abilities / willingness
- Cities ability to fund
- broad community support (leadership)

- 2010 BKCT

concern that good ideas won't make the cut

community is solution oriented

* LOBJECTIVE

PUBLIC INPUT

- more public input (15 min)
- ~~Publ~~, SECTION 8 Homeownership
- tax policy for long-term tenancy
- ~~E~~ Historic Preservation tool: lots of HX buildings being demo'd
  (smaller homes, ADUs/OAUs provide flexibility & affordability. Lots of regulation in place.)
- "Working w/ Neighbors" - coop & cohousing in Boulder Junction
  - Victor Dover - go to neighborhoods first.
  - Talk to neighborhoods more
  - personnel story & offer as resource
- Preserve affordable - Offer to be a resource
  - flooded basement - NEED NEIGHBORHOOD LIAISON INVOLVED
  - lots of seniors
  - tiny houses
  - PYATOK doesn't apply to Boulder
- 16 acres at Mapleton being dev'ped
- Developers do outreach, don't get feedback