

BVCP Process Subcommittee Meeting #10
April 20, 2016 - Noon-1:15
1777 West Conference Room Muni Building



Subcommittee Purpose

The Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan (BVCP) Process Subcommittee's Role is to monitor and provide input on the public process throughout the BVCP Update process. The BVCP Committee consists of 2 council members (Weaver, Brockett), 2 planning board members (Gerstle, May), a Boulder County Commissioner (Jones), and a County planning commission member (Gargano).

Attendees: Aaron Brockett, John Gerstle, Leonard May, Elise Jones

Staff: Jean Gatzka, Caitlin Zacharias, Sung Han, Michael Davidson, Lesli Ellis, Steven Giang

Public: (1 member)

***Comments by subcommittee** are the bullet points that begin with bold text.

****Staff responses are in italics.**

Proposed Agenda

1. Update on items from last meeting (10 mins)

- Consultant on-boarding, roles

Provided updates on BVCP scenario consultants.

i. What is the scope of the CU South work? *It will take place in two phases:*

1) analysis, including a land use suitability study to understand the site and its constraints and opportunities; 2) further analysis to determine appropriate land use designations. RFP out for CU South analysis.

ii. Is CU involved? *Yes, CU has agreed that there is a need to understand the property and what makes sense for environmental preservation and what makes sense for development. During one of previous comp plan updates, there was a preference to not look at land use changes without additional understanding gained from flood analysis of South Boulder Creek.*

iii. Will this analysis drive a change in land use designation? *CU would like to change the land use designation to accommodate future development on this property. The study will determine recommended land uses.*

- Twin Lakes Facilitated Process

i. Facilitated Process first meeting went well and participants agreed to continue with the process.

ii. The consultants have been hired to move ahead with a few studies- was this discussed at the first facilitated meeting? *More information was offered at the meeting. The context is that commissioners had asked BCHA about studies regarding hydrology and other issues and requested that they be initiated.*

- iii. **Will the information that comes from these studies feed the analysis as a whole? It could also be more about gathering data, since that will be useful for everyone and will also inform BCHA as the landowner.**
- iv. *Next meeting: every other Wednesday, 4-7 p.m. at school district's Education Center (6500 Arapahoe). All meetings and info will be on the comp plan website. All meetings are open to the public.*

2. May 11 Shaping Choices Event and online Virtual Meeting - Main Library 3:30-7:30 (20 mins)

- Draft brochure, map, schedule - review as examples, these will be refined
- Communication – getting the word out
- Presentation – key pad polling, role of consultants
- Recruiting approach for 30 minutes of “60 second pitches”; video booth
- Feedback - Survey Questions / Mirrored Online
- Livestream Ch 8

- i. **To what extent have the relevant boards been involved with respect to the focus topic areas?** *Staff is going to EAB and others in the summer. A round of outreach to the boards took place this past fall as well.*
- ii. **Have the boards been invited to engage with the areas of focus at this event?** *Staff hasn't done direct outreach to the boards on this event yet but could plan to do so.*
- iii. **Could someone from the boards be involved with the activities? Could be a nice addition to have some people there in an official capacity.** *Staff will follow up with the city and county boards and invite members to be present at the activities. Staff will assess if invitations to boards are appropriate for participation in the 60 second pitches.*
- iv. **How long should attendees anticipate participating, since the event falls around dinnertime?** *There will be snacks. A schedule will be available on the website so those interested can decide which portions they would like to attend.*
- v. **What function would keypad polling serve?** *The keypad polling will seek general feedback about themes and directions in the form of yes/no types of questions. In addition, it will involve demographic questions. Keypad polling could be used for the idea pitches as well.*
- vi. **People like having a feedback loop.** *In addition, the keypad polling it will be interspersed throughout the presentation to encourage interaction.*
- vii. **It is important to note that we have to be open to a range of responses, and to be cognizant of receiving the input that may not be statistically significant.**
- viii. **Will the presentation be livestreamed on Channel 8?** *Yes it is programmed into the schedule. The content will also be available online as a virtual meeting. For example, the survey questions at the event will be available for mobile and computer use.*

- ix. **For the keypad polling, what questions could we ask regarding the 60-second pitches?** *This needs to be a transparent, inclusive, and manageable activity. Will have roughly 12 spots. Responses could be something like “love this; hmm, need more info; not quite my vision”- or something else that won’t be intimidating to speakers.*
- x. **Will the 60-second pitches involve a presentation?** *No. Participants will pass the microphone.*
- xi. **“What if?”: What does this mean?** *It’s about positive, inspiring ideas along the lines of “What if Boulder does this great thing in the future?”* **These could be frame as something positive for the future.** *They could be framed as “best outcome” possibilities for the future. It would be good to foster creativity and innovation and populate the evening with ideas of things that could help. They should be dynamic talks, for example like TED talks. It will be an opportunity to learn more from citizens.*
- xii. **This event is shaping up to be a great way to shape choices.**
- xiii. **Have you contacted PlanBoulder, LivableBoulder, and Open Boulder?** *Staff is reaching out to see if they might do a 60-sec pitch. It would be great to have their participation.*

3. Engagement Planning – Phase 3 Beyond May (20 mins)

- General schedule update
- Update on schedule and process for public land use requests
- Update on policy integration track
- Scenario feedback – process design; local meetings and series of summer “pop-ups” (as well as online).
 - i. **Should the county bodies be included in the June schedule?** *Staff is working to confirm BVCP items on all agendas.*
 - ii. **What kind of sequencing would you be looking at for land use request approvals? This is an important question that needs further consideration. Suggestion to discuss this at the next meeting.**

4. Survey Planning (10 mins) *(Skipped this item – it will be discussed next meeting)*

- Type? Timing?

5. Public Comment (10 minutes)

- Lynn Segal: I want this plan to go forward asap. The middle class is getting “eaten alive.” The discussion about Jobs-Housing balance came up before, but nothing was done.

Next meeting: Possibility to combine May/June meetings and reschedule May 18 to **June 1** (cancelling June 15) -*could be a 2-hr meeting. May keep May 18 meeting just to discuss scheduling (have available as a conference call if preferred).*

Will also consider recess calendar for following meeting in July.

Draft Schedule – through October

Date	Event	Purpose
CONCEPTS AND INITIAL POLICIES		
April 20	BVCP Process Subcommittee	Prep for May 11; broader schedule beyond; phase 3 community engagement, etc.
May 3	Interdepartmental City Team	Policy alignment and integration; scenario concepts; prep for May 11
May 4	EAB update	Natural resource and climate and energy chapters and scenario concepts (verbal update)
May 9	TAB update	Review transportation chapter edits and give feedback on scenario concepts
May 11	COMMUNITY EVENT	<i>(many topics – agenda under separate cover)</i>
May 11	OSBT update	Policy integration – natural resources and verbal update on CU South consultant approach
May 12	Planning Board	Policy integration, community meeting input, land use request schedule and approach, land use categories, focused topics
May 24	City Council Study Session – memo	<i>(many topics)</i>
TBD – May or June	Check in with Planning Commission and BOCC	Many topics – as presented to CC on May 24
ANALYSIS AND APPROVALS		
June or July	Community events	Localized meetings for land use requests and CU South
July 28?	Planning Board	Review land use request initial analysis; land use changes, initial scenario analysis
August	COMMUNITY EVENT(S) and Survey?	Review scenarios and choices and analysis – preferred choices?
August and Sept.	Other boards and commissions	Focused topics and Scenarios
August	City Council	Review land use request initial analysis; land use changes, initial scenario analysis
Sept.	Planning Board	Land use requests recommendations
Oct.	City Council	Land use requests recommendations
Oct	4 bodies	Finalize and approve land use request recommendations