The Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan (“the Plan”) is a jointly adopted plan between the City of Boulder and Boulder County that has been in place since the 1970s and is periodically updated to respond to changed circumstances and community needs. The Plan guides future decisions related to preservation, growth, and provision of services for the Boulder Valley (i.e., the City of Boulder plus portions of the adjoining unincorporated area).

The plan is currently undergoing an update anticipated to be completed in 2017, and decision makers are looking for community input to help guide some key choices in particular about future housing and non-residential growth.

This is the second survey being conducted about the plan (the first was conducted in 2015), and its results will be important to shaping plan outcomes along with community ideas from previous and upcoming workshops neighborhood meetings and online input. More information about the project, including how to get involved in other ways, can be found on the project webpage: www.BoulderValleyCompPlan.net.

Your opinions are important and will help to formulate specific policies and priorities of the Plan. Please note that individual survey responses are strictly confidential – a third party consultant, not the city or county, is gathering and analyzing the data from this survey. Thank you for your participation!

1. How would you rate the overall quality of life in the Boulder Valley, taking all things into consideration?
   - Very good
   - Good
   - Neither good nor bad
   - Bad
   - Very bad
   - Don’t know/no opinion

2. How would you rate your familiarity with the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan (the Plan)?
   - Never heard of it/know nothing about it
   - Don’t know much about it
   - Know some things about it
   - Know quite a bit about it
   - Very familiar with it (e.g., understand its purpose, scope, objectives, etc.)

3. How closely would you say you have been following the discussions about the Plan update now taking place?
   - Not at all
   - Not too closely
   - Somewhat closely
   - Quite closely

4. Have you participated in any of the Plan update community listening sessions, meetings, surveys, or other online engagement in 2015 or 2016?
   - Yes, attended a neighborhood listening session or other community meeting
   - Yes, participated in the Plan survey in fall of 2015
   - Yes, participated in other online feedback or polls
   - Yes, attended a meeting (Planning Board, City Council, etc.)
   - No
   - Don’t know/not sure
5. In the past several years, people have expressed varying sentiments about the state of the community and the general direction it is heading regarding redevelopment, growth, and design. Which of the following statements best reflects your views about recent trends of growth and change in the community?

- ☐ The community is generally heading in the right direction.
- ☐ The community is generally heading in the wrong direction.
- ☐ Mixed reaction; in some ways things are heading in the right direction, in other equally important ways the wrong direction.
- ☐ Briefly, in what ways is the community headed in the right or wrong direction? ________________
- ☐ Don’t know / no opinion

6. Where do you live? (refer to map)

- ☐ Gunbarrel
- ☐ East Boulder
- ☐ North Boulder
- ☐ University of Colorado
- ☐ Palo Park
- ☐ Southeast Boulder
- ☐ Crossroads
- ☐ South Boulder
- ☐ Central Boulder
- ☐ Central Boulder – University Hill (South of Arapahoe)
- ☐ Outside these areas / rural

7. Neighborhoods are smaller geographic areas than what is shown on the map. Which neighborhood do you live in? ________________

OR ☐ Don’t know or don’t consider myself as living in a neighborhood

The remainder of the survey provides detail related to potential tradeoffs and modifications to the Comprehensive Plan. We appreciate you taking the time to read about the issues and to provide your thoughtful feedback.

Thank you.

The Plan envisions a city with a defined growth boundary surrounded by open space and rural areas, with limited areas for annexation or expansion at the edge of the community and growth that pay its way for new facilities and services necessary to support it. The community has little vacant land – almost all new development will occur through infill and redevelopment within the growth boundary. Future development also is constrained by floodplains and other natural hazards and restricted by height limits, zoning, and other standards.

Boulder Housing and Jobs Projections

Currently, Boulder has less land zoned for future housing than for future jobs, so the balance of housing and jobs is projected to become further tipped toward jobs in the coming years.

Projections: (Note: Make the following information more of an info graphic to be easier to read/digest.) In 2016, Boulder and its service area is estimated to have approximately 51,100 housing units, approximately 119,200 residents, and close to 100,100 jobs. By 2040, based on zoning and historic growth rates, the city may see an additional 6,750 housing units (including over 1,000 units in CU dorms), approximately 19,300 new residents, and nonresidential space for an additional 19,070 jobs (2015 projections.)
Beyond 2040, the city has nonresidential capacity for an additional 36,000 jobs. More information about trends and growth projections can be found at www.BoulderValleyCompPlan.net.

Nonresidential (e.g., commercial business, office, and light industry) job growth and contraction are driven by economic forces well beyond the control of local policies, and housing demand is impacted by economic conditions, regional factors, population and demographic shifts, and other forces. That said, local land policies and rules related to development can inhibit (or even prohibit) some forms of development while incentivizing others. Under the current Plan, the majority of Boulder’s future potential growth is non-residential. In recent years, strong local and regional non-residential (job) growth combined with other market factors, including limited land availability, have contributed to strong housing demand and increases in home prices and rents. Results from the 2015 Plan survey identified the lack of housing affordability, transportation, and change in community character as key concerns. (add link to 2015 survey)

Given Boulder’s limited land supply, a key role of the Plan is to determine the appropriate balance of uses and the right locations for them considering tradeoffs and guided by the Plan’s overall vision and values. The following questions ask specifically how you value trade-offs on these issues of growth as well as specific locations where you think allowing for new housing may or may not be appropriate.

**EMPLOYMENT / NON RESIDENTIAL LAND USE**

The next few questions relate to Jobs/Housing Balance and nonresidential growth.

**Non-Residential (Commercial and Industrial) Growth and Jobs**

The current Plan recognizes Boulder’s role as a regional employment center and identifies areas within the city to accommodate current and future economic growth. Most non-residential job growth is projected to occur in Crossroads, East Boulder and Gunbarrel in areas designated for future “Mixed Use,” “Business” and “Light Industrial.”

Some community members would like to maintain or increase the current potential for additional jobs and economic vitality, while others have expressed concern about the recent pace of redevelopment, housing affordability, character and size of new buildings, and increased traffic. Tradeoffs of nonresidential growth include benefits such as jobs, economic prosperity, increased tax revenues to pay for services and programs and impacts such as in-commuting, traffic congestion at the local and regional level, housing demand, and economic affordability pressures.

**Map Location Key:**

- 01) Downtown
- 02) Boulder Valley Regional Center (29th Street Center, and 28th / 30th Street corridor)
- 03) North of Arapahoe between 30th and 38th Streets
- 04) 55th and Arapahoe
- 05) Boulder Junction (30th and Pearl)
- 06) Gunbarrel town center
- 07) University Hill commercial area
- 08) Table Mesa Center
- 09) Meadows Community Center
- 10) Basemar (near Baseline and Broadway)
- 11) North Boulder/North Broadway
- 12) Diagonal Plaza
8. As a result of community feedback and in light of the tradeoffs related to nonresidential (i.e., commercial, office, and light industrial) growth, the city is exploring a range of land use changes, policies, and tools to address such future growth potential and the balance between jobs and housing generally. Please indicate your level of support or opposition for each approach to non-residential growth.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>1 – Strongly Oppose</th>
<th>2 - Oppose</th>
<th>3 - Neutral</th>
<th>4 - Support</th>
<th>5 – Strongly Support</th>
<th>Don't know/ no opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do not change future non-residential growth potential for approximately 19,070 additional jobs by 2040 and possibility for an additional 36,000 jobs according to zoning capacity.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce non-residential growth potential in the Boulder Valley Regional Center (around 29th Street Center and 28th/30th Street corridors)</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce non-residential growth potential in Neighborhood Centers</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce non-residential growth potential in Light Industrial areas</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit annual commercial and industrial growth</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. Do you have other comments about non-residential (i.e., commercial, office, light industrial) growth policies and future job growth ____________________________

Building Heights in City Mixed Use and Non-Residential
The City Charter limits building heights in Boulder to 55 feet. In response to community concerns about such height modifications, the city has an ordinance in place through April 2017 that limits heights taller than 35 feet to specific areas (those with a plan in place or that have had public process, such as Downtown, Boulder Junction, etc.). The city’s vision for “urban” places with a mix of activities and taller buildings is that they should only be allowed in select areas with access to frequent transit and in places have been vetted and approved through a public planning process and an approved local area plan. Height modifications to allow taller buildings can be allowed through the development review process (i.e., site review).

**Note:** Will include the map from Ordinance No. 8028 “Amending the Building Height Regulations”, as amended to showing the locations noted above and referenced in the questions below.
Do you support or oppose the following approaches to building height?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Continue to restrict buildings that are taller than 3-stories to specific areas of the city as noted in the map above</th>
<th>1 - Strongly Oppose</th>
<th>2 - Oppose</th>
<th>3 - Neutral</th>
<th>4 - Support</th>
<th>5 - Strongly Support</th>
<th>Don't know/ no opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allow buildings taller than 3-stories (up to 55 feet) as a height modification through the development review process only if certain community benefits are provided (e.g., affordable housing and other benefits)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allow buildings taller than 3-stories (up to 55 feet) as a height modification subject to compliance with development review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. Do you have other comments about building heights? __________________________________________________________

HOUSING

Housing Mix and Locations

The 2015 comprehensive plan survey identified a greater diversity of housing types and price ranges as the highest priority action as well as highly important community value. The shortage of affordable housing in Boulder—especially for the workforce and middle income households—by the community as a pivotal need, and diminishing housing affordability is making it harder for Boulder to be the diverse and inclusive community for all incomes and ages it strives to be.

Future residential growth under the current Plan will result in new housing mostly in mixed use commercial areas in Central Boulder and Boulder Junction and otherwise distributed in centers designated for “Mixed Use” or “Residential Medium or High” along major corridors such as 28th Street or near Downtown. However, at the current rate of housing growth of one percent average per annum, the 25-year supply of housing will reach capacity well before 2040.

Tradeoffs of increasing the potential for future housing in commercial centers, light industrial areas, or along commercial corridors such as 28th Street may include potential benefits of achieving goals to create more long term affordable and middle income housing, contribute to diversity and social equity in the community, better balance the future mix of jobs and housing, and (depending on the types of units and location near services and transit) reduce some regional traffic congestion and transportation-related emissions that contribute to climate change. Such housing growth could also contribute to impacts of additional resident demands for services and infrastructure (such as open space parks, and transportation and other infrastructure) and concerns of adjacent neighborhoods on compatibility or fully resolve housing affordability. The next few questions address housing options.

11. To meet future diverse housing needs, Boulder is exploring changes to the land use plan that could allow additional future housing (e.g., townhomes, rowhomes, stacked flats, live-work units) in certain locations noted below. Additionally, the city is considering new standards and incentives to ensure that a substantial amount of any future new housing is affordable to low, moderate, and middle incomes (Note: link to definitions of income levels). What is your general level of support or opposition for new housing?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allow additional housing potential in Boulder for low, moderate, and middle incomes in general</th>
<th>1 - Strongly Oppose</th>
<th>2 - Oppose</th>
<th>3 - Neutral</th>
<th>4 - Support</th>
<th>5 - Strongly Support</th>
<th>Don't know/ no opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ensure that a substantial amount of any future housing is affordable to low, moderate, and middle incomes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
12. What is your level of support or opposition for each approach to address future housing (including for a low, moderate, and middle incomes) in certain locations?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change</th>
<th>1 - Strongly Oppose</th>
<th>2 - Oppose</th>
<th>3 - Neutral</th>
<th>4 - Support</th>
<th>5 - Strongly Support</th>
<th>Don't know/ no opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do not change future housing potential for approximately 6,750 new housing units in Boulder (Note: will refine this number to deduct CU dorms)</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change the Boulder Valley Regional Commercial Center (29th Street Center and 28th/30th Street corridor) land uses to allow more apartments, townhomes, and housing mixed with retail uses (link to Map #2)</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change the neighborhood center land uses to allow more townhomes, rowhomes, and housing mixed with retail uses (Map #3 through XX) See example of 3 story mixed use above. (Should the survey ask about each center by number?)</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should survey ask questions about any other corridors (e.g., North Broadway near Alpine-Balsam south of Iris, and South Broadway near Table Mesa?)</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change some of the Light Industrial Areas to allow more housing such as rowhomes and live-work units along with additional local retail and amenities while retaining service industrial and small businesses (Map #X through Y)</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change land uses in the Boulder Valley Regional Center, neighborhood centers, and light industrial areas to reduce non-residential growth potential as an offset for increasing housing growth potential</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Options for Residential Infill**

Some residents in established single family neighborhoods have voiced concerns about incremental changes such as newly built large homes or policies or code changes that affect their neighborhood’s character and livability. Others have expressed a desire for more options beyond tearing down and replacing homes and to accommodate different community goals such as more options for housing sizes and types, historic preservation, and choices for older adults to age in place. The following questions explore different types of infill in neighborhoods that might be smaller or more affordable than what current policy allows. The city would like to understand what options residents think are appropriate or not in different single family neighborhoods.

13. Do you generally support or generally oppose the following types of housing options *(not styles)* for areas that are primarily single family, low density neighborhoods in Boulder (such as Newlands, Martin Acres, and University Hill/Chautauqua area)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Detached accessory dwelling unit (a unit located separately on an existing single family lot where the unit may be up to 800 sf.)</th>
<th>Generally support</th>
<th>Support, but with some restrictions</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Generally Oppose</th>
<th>Oppose, but might support with changes</th>
<th>Don't know/not sure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: (1) Opticos design, (2) [www.paloaltoforward.com/considering_building_a_secondary_unit_in_palo_alto](http://www.paloaltoforward.com/considering_building_a_secondary_unit_in_palo_alto)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Detached alley house or small lot detached home on an existing single family lot (a separate unit on a single lot, up to approx. 1,500 sf.)</th>
<th>Generally support</th>
<th>Support, but with some restrictions</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Generally Oppose</th>
<th>Oppose, but might support with changes</th>
<th>Don't know/not sure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: [http://www.vargasreeman.com/sitebuilder/images/portland_open_house_514_v2.610x398.jpg](http://www.vargasreeman.com/sitebuilder/images/portland_open_house_514_v2.610x398.jpg) and (2) [https://accessorydwellings.org/2016/05/13/satishs-adu/](https://accessorydwellings.org/2016/05/13/satishs-adu)*
### Duplex or duplex conversion

A paired set of street facing units on a single lot, up to approx. 2,500 sf. each

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Support, but with some restrictions</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Generally Oppose</th>
<th>Oppose, but might support with changes</th>
<th>Don't know/not sure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>❑</td>
<td>❑</td>
<td>❑</td>
<td>❑</td>
<td>❑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Cottage court

A courtyard-oriented set of units, up to 2,000 sf. each

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Support, but with some restrictions</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Generally Oppose</th>
<th>Oppose, but might support with changes</th>
<th>Don't know/not sure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>❑</td>
<td>❑</td>
<td>❑</td>
<td>❑</td>
<td>❑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Other infill type of housing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generally support</th>
<th>Support, but with some restrictions</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Generally Oppose</th>
<th>Oppose, but might support with changes</th>
<th>Don't know/not sure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>❑</td>
<td>❑</td>
<td>❑</td>
<td>❑</td>
<td>❑</td>
<td>❑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
14. Do you generally support or generally oppose the idea of limiting the size of future homes built in Boulder?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Do not limit future house sizes in Boulder</th>
<th>Generally support</th>
<th>Support, but with some restrictions</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Generally Oppose</th>
<th>Oppose, but might support with changes</th>
<th>Don't know/not sure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Limit future house sizes in Boulder, in general</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit future house sizes on larger residential lots (i.e., lots greater than 10,000 sf in size)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pursue other strategies to address impacts on neighborhoods</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15. Do you have any comments about the above housing choices?

16. Over the next five years, which locations (other than Alpine-Balsam) do you think are high priorities for local area planning to address future redevelopment, imminent change, or other community concerns?

01) □ Downtown
02) □ Boulder Valley Regional Center (29th Street Center, and 28th /30th Street corridor)
03) □ North of Arapahoe between 30th and 38th Streets
04) □ 55th and Arapahoe
05) □ Boulder Junction (30th and Pearl)
06) □ Gunbarrel town center
07) □ University Hill commercial area
08) □ Table Mesa Center
09) □ Meadows Community Center
10) □ Basemar (near Baseline and Broadway)
11) □ North Boulder/North Broadway
12) □ Diagonal Plaza
13) □ Neighborhood location: ______
14) □ Other: ________________________________
□ None of the above
Neighborhood Improvements

10. Thinking about the Boulder Valley neighborhood where you live, what would make your neighborhood better? (Select up to 5 items) (Note – this builds on 2015 survey responses)
   - Addressing maintenance, noise, code enforcement
   - Arts and culture
   - Better sidewalks, bike lanes and pedestrian crossings (safety and connections)
   - Better transit access and frequency
   - Establishing a unique neighborhood identity
   - Improved safety
   - Improved street maintenance
   - More affordable housing units
   - More retail (shops, dining) within a short (15-minute) walk
   - Parks, trailheads access and/or improvements
   - Parking challenges resolved
   - Plan for future of nearby commercial or mixed use areas, transitions to neighborhood
   - Preservation of existing housing and existing character
   - Social structure, ability for neighbors to communicate with each other, ability for neighbors and neighborhood organizations to communicate with each other and with City government
   - Traffic calming/slowing tactics (such as speed bumps, etc.)
   - Not applicable – don’t live in Boulder Valley
   - Other ideas: ___________________________________________________

Community Benefit from Development

The city currently requires community benefits from new development (i.e., affordable housing, open space, energy conservation, fees to pay for infrastructure and services, multi-modal transportation options, quality design, historic resources), and the Plan states that development must provide significant value and community benefits that improve the quality of life of residents. As part of the comprehensive plan update, the city is exploring ways to better define the additional community benefits that would be required when properties are granted increases in density through additional height or zoning changes.

18. Please identify the top 3 community benefits, over and above what is currently required by city development requirements, that you believe should be tied to approving increases in development potential on a site.
   1. Additional permanently affordable housing for low, moderate, and middle income households
   2. Cultural or art facilities
   3. Non-profit space or affordable commercial space
   4. Financial contribution to a community benefit fund
   5. Additional accessible and useable open spaces
   6. None, development should not be granted increases in density or height
   7. Other benefits __________

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

19. Do you have any additional comments or suggestions that you would like to offer regarding the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan?

______________________________________________________________________________________________

______________________________________________________________

________________________________

DEMOGRAPHICS

Finally, a few more questions about yourself, for grouping purposes only. As a reminder, all individual responses are confidential. (Note: renumber these questions for final survey.)

11. Do you live in:
   - [ ] City of Boulder
   - [ ] Unincorporated area of Boulder County
   - [ ] Other area outside Boulder

12. About how many years have you lived in the Boulder Valley? _______ years (Enter 0 if less than 6 months)
13. Including yourself, how many people live in your household? _______ people, including yourself (Enter 1 if you live alone)

14. Are you employed? □ Yes □ No (GO TO Q. ***)

15. Where do you work? □ Boulder □ Lafayette □ Louisville □ Longmont □ Broomfield/Interlocken □ Jefferson County □ Denver □ Other: ______________

16. Do you ever work at your home? □ No □ Yes, my business is out of my home □ Yes, I always work at home instead of my employer’s location □ Yes, sometimes I work at home instead of my employer’s location, sometimes at my employer’s location □ Other: __________________________

17. Are you a full- or part-time university or college student? □ No □ Yes, at the CU Boulder campus □ Yes, at Naropa □ Yes, somewhere else

18. Please check the one box that most closely describes the type of housing unit you live in. □ A single-family home □ An apartment in an apartment complex □ An apartment in a single-family home □ A condominium or townhouse □ A mobile home □ Group quarters (sorority/fraternity house, dorm, nursing home – go to Q. ***) □ Other: ______________

19. Do you own or rent your residence? (If you own a mobile home but pay a lot fee, then you own your residence.) □ Own □ Rent □ Other: __________________________

20. What is your age? □ Under 20 □ 20 to 24 □ 25 to 34 □ 35 to 44 □ 45 to 54 □ 55 to 64 □ 65 to 74 □ Over 74

21. Do any of the following live in your household? Yes □ No

22. Which of these categories best describes the total gross annual income of your household (before taxes)? □ Less than $50,000 □ $50,000 to $99,999 □ $100,000 to $149,999 □ $150,000 to $199,999 □ $200,000 to $249,999 □ $250,000 or more

23. Are you of Chicano/Chicana/Mexican-American, Latino/Latina, or Hispanic origin? □ Yes □ No

24. Which best describes your race? (Please select all that apply) □ White □ Asian or Pacific Islander □ American Indian, Eskimo or Aleut □ Black or African American □ Other, please specify: __________________________

25. What is your gender? □ Male □ Female □ Other

26. Would you be interested in participating in additional opinion surveys or focus groups regarding the Boulder Valley Comprehensive Plan update? □ No □ Yes → Thank you! Please provide your email address so we may contact you. ____________________________

(Your email will be used solely to contact you for follow-up research; you will not be added to any lists and your responses will not be linked to your contact information.)

(OPTIONAL) □ Yes, sign me up for the City of Boulder Planning Department email list for periodic email updates on the BVCP process. Please provide your email address if you haven’t done so above: ____________________________
Thank you for taking the time to share your opinions.
Please return your survey in the enclosed postage-paid envelope.
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