


DOT Exercise

As you enter please DOT VOTE:

Should this funding mechanism be recommended to Council for consideration?



Support moving forward



Support but with reservations or qualifications



Do not support moving forward

City of Boulder
Funding Working Group
Meeting 6

May 20, 2019

4pm to 6pm

***First Floor New Britain Conference Room,
1101 Arapahoe Ave.***

Agenda

- Dot Exercise
- Welcome and Introductions
- Review of Meeting 5 Summary
- TMP Process Update
- Group Discussion
- Next Steps



CWVG Pathway

Meeting 3: Needs Assessment part 2

• March 12

Meeting 4: Funding Mechanisms

• April 16

Meeting 5: Alignment of Needs and Mechanisms

• April 23

Meeting 6: Recommendation development

• May 20

TMP Process Update

- City Council Meeting June 18
 - Primary Goal: Funding Working Group provides staff with a short list of funding mechanisms for Council consideration that align with unfunded needs
 - Secondary Goals: Provide any additional input to staff and Council on funding packages, investment priorities and unfunded needs

TMP Process Update

- After June 18th City Council Meeting
 - Staff will continue to work to detail the implementation process, specific rates, and evaluate impacts
 - Funding Working Group members can choose to continue working with staff until Council approves a mechanism
 - New members will be brought on to replace others.

Funding Options

- ***Vehicle Efficiency Fee***
 - ***Vehicle Value Fee/Tax***
- General improvement districts
- ***County-wide Transportation Sales Tax***
- Sales and Property Tax
 - Value-Added Tax
- Occupational Privilege Tax
- ***Parking Space Fee/Tax***
- ***Congestion pricing/Cordon fees***
- ***Transportation Maintenance/Utility Fee***
- Curbside Management/TNC fees



Dot Exercise

Reasons for Ranking Mechanisms and Discussion

Mechanisms and Rates



Mechanism	Rate	Revenue
County-wide Sales Tax	\$0.01 sales tax	\$55 million
Vehicle Registration Fee	\$42 per year per vehicle	\$2.7 million
Cordon Fee	\$1 per entry into city	\$11 million
Parking Fee	\$1 per commuter parking	\$15 million
Trans. Maintenance Fee	\$80/yr detached residential unit \$53/yr multi-family residential unit \$0.20/sq.ft. commercial/retail \$0.10/sq.ft. office \$0.02/sq.ft. warehouse/light industrial	\$5 million



Open Discussion

Building consensus around recommendation

Level of Agreement

LEVEL OF AGREEMENT		
		
1 Finger Full Agreement	2 Fingers Agree in support of the group but have concerns	3 Fingers Unresolved issues; need further discussion *If 3, be prepared to suggest how to move forward

Chip Exercise (time permitting)

Building consensus around recommendation

Unfunded Needs

Category	Annual Unfunded Need	One-time Capital Need
CORE SERVICES		
Routine Maintenance	\$3,600,000	-
Capital Maintenance	\$7,000,000	-
Traffic Operations	\$100,000	\$9,600,000 (broadband)
5-Year CIP	\$5,000,000	
Planning and Programs	\$1,300,000	
Transit Services (near term)	\$5,700,000	\$11,200,000 (electrification of HOP)
Sub-TOTAL	\$22,700,000	\$20,800,000
TRANSIT		
Renewed Vision of Transit	\$50,000,000	

Chip Exercise

- White Chips for Core Services: \$250k each
- Red Chips for Transit Operations: \$250K each
- Black Chips for either: \$5m each

Mechanism	Rate	Revenue
County-wide Sales Tax	\$0.01 sales tax	\$55 million
Vehicle Registration Fee	\$42 per year per vehicle	\$3 million
Cordon Fee	\$1 per entry into city	\$10 million
Parking Fee	\$1 per commuter parking	\$15 million
Trans. Maintenance Fee	\$80/yr detached residential unit \$53/yr multi-family residential unit \$0.20/sq.ft. commercial/retail \$0.10/sq.ft. office \$0.02/sq.ft. warehouse/light industrial	\$5 million

- How much would you fund for both Core Services and the Renewed Vision for Transit for each mechanism?

Funding Mechanisms for Core Services and Strategic Investment Program

Transportation Maintenance Fee

Total Core chips

Total Transit Vision Chips

Name (s): _____

Cordon Fee/Congestion Pricing

Total Core chips

Total Transit Vision Chips

Parking Fee

Total Transit Vision Chips

Total Core Chips

Vehicle Registration Fee

Total Transit Vision Chips

Total Core Chips

Countywide Sales Tax

Total Core Chips

Total Transit Vision Chips

Comments _____

Other: _____

Total Core Chips

Total Transit Vision Chips

Extra Chips

Need	Total #	Chips



Thank You!

Chris Hagelin
hagelinc@bouldercolorado.gov



Previous Meeting Slides

Unfunded Needs- Short term

Category	Annual Unfunded Need	One-time Capital Need
Routine Maintenance	\$3,600,000	-
Capital Maintenance	\$7,000,000	-
Traffic Operations	\$100,000	\$9,600,000
Transit (near term)	\$5,700,000	\$11,200,000
5-Year CIP	\$5,000,000	-
Planning and Programs	\$1,300,000	-
TOTAL	\$22,700,000	\$20,800,000

Needs Assessment Exercise

Need	Operations, maintenance safety	Operational efficiency and Options	Quality of life	Auto capacity	Notes
Signs and markings	x				Safety issue
Medians – landscape maintenance			x		Unless it is a visibility issues the consensus was that landscape maintenance is not safety issue, primarily aesthetic
Snow and ice removal - roadway	x	x			Snow removal is primarily about safety for roadways but is also critical for maintaining multimodal access. Additional funding needs to improve pedestrian and ADA access, access to transit, and ped crossings at medians and intersections
Snow and ice removal – bike/ped/transit	x	x			
Sweeping	x	x			Safety in terms of air quality and public health, operations efficiency for debris removal
Signals	x				Maintaining safe operating conditions
Streets	x				Maintaining safe operating conditions

Needs Assessment Exercise

Need	Operations, maintenance safety	Operational efficiency and Options	Quality of life	Auto capacity	Notes
Pavement	x				Maintaining safe operating conditions
Bridges	x				Maintaining safe operating conditions
Bike paths	x				Maintaining safe operating conditions
Sidewalk	x				Maintaining safe operating conditions
Irrigation			x		Quality of life, maintaining trees and vegetation

Needs Assessment Exercise

Need	Operations, maintenance safety	Operational efficiency and Options	Quality of life	Auto capacity	Notes
Modernization of Traffic Signals	x	X			Safety and Efficiency of Operations
Radio Infrastructure	x	X			Safety and Efficiency of Operations
Broadband	x	x	x	x	Could apply to all
Big Data Analytics		x			To improve efficiency of operations
Green Pavement Markings	x				Safety
HOP electric buses for replacement	x	X	x		Wide range of benefits
Transit Service Support	x	X	x	x	Wide range of benefits

Needs Assessment Exercise

Need	Operations, maintenance safety	Operational efficiency and Options	Quality of life	Auto capacity	Notes
Five Year CIP Implementation	x	X			Operation of systems, efficiency and options
Corridor Plans		x			Multimodal options and efficiency of operations
Vision Zero Implementation	x				Safety
Innovation/Advanced Mobility pilots		x			Multi-modal options and efficiency of operations
Bike/Ped Low stress network	X	x			Safety and multimodal options
Bike/Ped Program	X	x			Safety and multimodal options
Eco Pass/TDM Service		x			Multimodal options and efficiency of operations

Evaluation Criteria

- Provide revenue to meet TMP objectives
- Legal and Administratively cost effective
- Socially and economically equitable
- Proven elsewhere
- Reliable and predictable
- Sustainable and Scalable
- Revenue potential

Sales Tax



Comments/Concerns:

Community Receptivity:



Comments/Reasoning:

Property Tax



Comments/Concerns:

Community Receptivity:



Comments/Reasoning:

Occupational Privilege Tax



Comments/Concerns:

Community Receptivity:



Comments/Reasoning:

Mass Transit



Comments/Concerns:

Community Receptivity:



Comments/Reasoning:

Access Districts



Comments/Concerns:

Community Receptivity:



Comments/Reasoning:

TMF/TUF



Comments/Concerns:

Community Receptivity:



Comments/Reasoning:

Parking Fee/Tax




Comments/Concerns:

Community Receptivity:



Comments/Reasoning:

Congestion Pricing



Comments/Concerns:

Community Receptivity:



Comments/Reasoning:

Vehicle Value Fee



Comments/Concerns:

Community Receptivity:



Comments/Reasoning:

Congestion Pricing



Comments/Concerns:

Community Receptivity:



Comments/Reasoning:

TMP Funding Packages



- What is in the package?
- How much does the package cost?
- How is the city going to pay for it?

Funding Realities

- We are dependent on sales tax for the majority of our funding
- Sales tax revenue is not keeping up with inflation and the city is facing declining purchasing power
- The city is currently deferring maintenance and underfunding CIP
- Without additional funding, enhanced local and regional transit, and multimodal improvements, the city will not meet its transportation and climate goals and objectives.

Aligning Needs and Packages

- In working with a Funding Scenario in a small group:
 - Which of the funding mechanisms best align with specific unmet needs?
 - Which can meet the magnitude of the needs?
 - If Council wants to focus on X, which mechanism(s) are best suited and need to be developed by staff.

Funding Scenarios for Exercise

- **Fully Fund Core Services**
 - O&M
 - Traffic and Transit
 - Planning/Programs
- **Core Services and CIP**
 - Core Services
 - CIP unfunded need
- **Vision Zero Safety**
 - Core Services
 - Planning and Programs
 - VZ CIP component
- **Local Transit**
 - Core Services
 - Corridor CIP component
 - Local Transit Operations
- **Regional Transit**
 - Cores Services
 - Local/Regional Transit
 - Corridor CIP component
- **Advanced Mobility/TMP/Climate**
 - Core Services
 - Traffic Operations
 - Local and Regional Transit
 - CIP component

Next Steps

- May 14: Meeting 6
 - Recommendation development
- June TAB
 - Preview of Council Memo and Presentation
- June 18 City Council

City's Transportation Vision

- Safe
- Healthy
- Well-maintained
- Efficient
- Multimodal
- Innovative
- Local and Regional Partnerships





Additional Slides

TMP Funding Policy Review

Investment Priorities

1. Operations, maintenance (O&M), safety
2. Operational efficiency and Options
3. Quality of life
4. Auto Capacity

Needs Assessment

- Routine Maintenance, Capital Maintenance Operations, Transit, Planning, and Capital improvements
 - Descriptions
 - Current Spending
 - Unmet Needs
 - Added Benefit
 - Standard, Policies, Expectations



Routine Maintenance

Activity	Current Spending	Unmet Need	Total Funding Needed
Signs and Markings	\$1,000,000	\$100,000	\$1,100,000
Medians	\$700,000	\$600,000	\$1,300,000
Snow Removal	\$1,000,000	\$1,400,000	\$2,400,000
Street Sweeping	\$320,000	\$100,000	\$420,000
Signal Maintenance	\$1,200,000	\$400,000	\$1,600,000
Street Maintenance	\$2,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$3,000,000
Subtotal	\$6,220,000	\$3,600,000	\$9,820,000

Capital Maintenance

Activity	Current Spending	Unmet Need	Total Funding Needed
Pavement Maintenance	\$5,000,000	\$2,200,000	\$7,200,000
Bridges	\$500,000	\$3,000,000	\$3,500,000
Sidewalk Maintenance	\$600,000	\$600,000	\$1,200,000
Bike Path Maintenance	\$400,000	\$500,000	\$900,000
Irrigation Improvements	\$0	\$700,000	\$700,000
Subtotal	\$6,500,000	\$7,000,000	\$13,500,000

Traffic Operations

Capital Improvements	Current Spending	Unmet Funding Need	Total Funding Need
Moderization of Traffic Signals	NA	\$500,000	\$500,000
Radio Infrastructure	NA	\$100,000	\$100,000
Broadband	NA	\$9,000,000	\$9,000,000
Subtotal	NA	\$9,600,000	\$9,600,000

Annual Operations	Current Spending	Unmet Funding Needs	Total Funding Need
Big Data Analytics	No current line item in budget	\$50,000	\$50,000
Green Pavement Markings	No current line item in budget	\$50,000	\$50,000
Subtotal	\$0	\$100,000	\$100,000

Transit Capital and Operations

Capital Improvements	Current Spending	Unmet Funding Need	Total Funding Need
HOP electric buses for replacement	\$120,000	\$11,200,000	\$11,080,000
Subtotal	\$120,000	\$11,200,000	\$11,080,000

Annual Operations	Current Spending	Unmet Funding Needs	Total Funding Need
Transit Service Support	\$1,800,000	\$ 5,700,000	\$7,500,000
Subtotal	\$1,800,000	\$5,700,000	\$7,500,000

Capital Improvements and Planning

Capital Improvements	Current Spending	Unmet Funding Need	Total Funding Need
Fiver Year CIP Implementation	\$3,000,000	\$5,000,000	\$8,000,000
Subtotal	\$3,000,000	\$5,000,000	\$8,000,000

Annual Planning and Programmatic Needs	Current Spending	Unmet Funding Needs	Total Funding Need
Corridor Plans	\$150,000	\$100,000	\$250,000
Vision Zero Implementation	\$230,000	\$400,000	\$630,000
Innovation/Advanced Mobility pilots	\$20,000	\$200,000	\$220,000
Bike/Ped Low stress network	\$50,000	\$300,000	\$350,000
Bike/Ped Program	\$450,000	\$100,000	\$550,000
Eco Pass/TDM Service Support	\$800,000	\$200,000	\$1,000,000
Subtotal	\$1,700,000	\$1,300,000	\$3,000,000

Sales Tax

Criteria	
TMP objectives	Yes, Transportation has relied on sales tax since 1967
Legal and Admin	Legal and city currently administers the process
Equitable	Regressive
Proven	Currently used
Reliable and Predictable	Susceptible to economic fluctuations
Sustainable and Scalable	Dependent on economy and increases require vote
Adequate revenue	Historically, but not keeping up with inflation, part of package

Property Tax

Criteria	
TMP objectives	Yes, could provide significant revenue to meet unmet need and fund enhancements
Legal and Admin	Yes and administered by Boulder County
Equitable	Greater burden on commercial than residential properties
Proven	Yes, currently used by Boulder County
Reliable and Predictable	Yes
Sustainable and Scalable	Within limits set by tax laws
Adequate revenue	Yes could provide significant revenue on its own or part of package

Occupational Privilege Tax (Head Tax)

Criteria	
TMP objectives	Yes, could fund programs/services related to employers and commuters
Legal and Admin	Legal, but would require a new administrative process
Equitable	Burden is on employers and employees, could have exemptions for low wage workers
Proven	Used in the Denver metro area, Colorado, and the US
Reliable and Predictable	Yes
Sustainable and Scalable	Yes within tax law limits
Adequate revenue	For employee/employer related improvements/programs

Regional Transportation Authority

Criteria	
TMP objectives	For local and regional transit capital and service operations
Legal and Admin	Legal and significant administrative
Equitable	Property Tax v. Sales Tax issues to consider
Proven	Exist throughout Colorado and the US
Reliable and Predictable	Yes, but more so with property tax
Sustainable and Scalable	Yes, but more so with property tax than sales tax
Adequate revenue	Yes for transit capital and service operations depending on rates

Access Districts

Criteria	
TMP objectives	Yes for specific geographic area
Legal and Admin	Legal and city is experienced at administering districts
Equitable	Districts collect property taxes based on property value. Commercial portion higher than residential
Proven	Well established in Boulder; Downtown, Uni Hill, Forest Glen and Boulder Junction
Reliable and Predictable	Reliable and predictable
Sustainable and Scalable	Sustainable and scalable
Adequate revenue	For on-going programs/improvements in a selected geographic area

Transportation Maintenance/ Utility Fee

Criteria	
TMP objectives	Yes for unfunded O&M needs and possibly transit capital and service
Legal and Admin	Legal, and would need to be integrated into utility billing
Equitable	Can include rebates for specific cases
Proven	Yes in Colorado and across the US
Reliable and Predictable	Yes
Sustainable and Scalable	Yes
Adequate revenue	Completely scalable

Parking Space Fee/Tax

Criteria	
TMP objectives	Yes, could provide funding for multimodal operations and enhancements
Legal and Admin	Untested locally and possibly significant administrative burden depending on implementation
Equitable	Issue of minimum parking requirements
Proven	Philadelphia, Seattle (encourage redevelopment of surface lots)
Reliable and Predictable	Fairly reliable and predictable
Sustainable and Scalable	Revenue could diminish over time as parking supply and demand decrease
Adequate revenue	Depending rate, could be significant on its own or part of package

Cordon Fee/Congestion Pricing

Criteria	
TMP objectives	Yes, could provide significant funding of multimodal improvements and operations
Legal and Admin	Legal with significant implementation costs and new administrative process
Equitable	User fee based on use, could include embedded rebates for EVs
Proven	Proven to manage demand
Reliable and Predictable	Yes based on 1% annual travel growth
Sustainable and Scalable	Revenue could decrease over time with reduction of private vehicle fleet and increased transit use
Adequate revenue	Depending on rates

Curbside Management Fee

Criteria	
TMP objectives	Yes related to managing Advanced Mobility impacts
Legal and Admin	Legal with implementation costs and new administrative process
Equitable	Subsidies for shared, electric trips
Proven	New innovation
Reliable and Predictable	Growing revenue base
Sustainable and Scalable	Revenue likely to increase as private fleet is replaced by shared and autonomous on-demand mobility
Adequate revenue	Possibly significant revenue depending on rate and increased use of TNCs and freight delivery

Vehicle Efficiency Fee

Criteria	
TMP objectives	Yes, could provide funding to meet unmet needs and for on-going programs.
Legal and Admin	Legal, more burden on implementation than on-going administration
Equitable	Rebates being considered for low mileage vehicles and low income residents
Proven	New concept in regard to the fee based on miles per gallon (MPG)
Reliable and Predictable	Reliable and predictable
Sustainable and Scalable	Less sustainable with anticipated decline in private automobile ownership, but scalable
Adequate revenue	Limited revenue so part of a funding package

VMT Tax

Criteria	
TMP objectives	Yes, could in the future replace the gas tax
Legal and Admin	Needs to be implemented on a larger scale
Equitable	Based on vehicle use and could have rebates added
Proven	On in pilot tests
Reliable and Predictable	Potentially
Sustainable and Scalable	Could reduced over time based on private vehicle ownership
Adequate revenue	Could replace gas tax at federal level and provide a portion of annual funding similar to state highway users fund