MIWG –

5.31.16

PURPOSE/PROCESS

- INCORPORATE PREVIOUS ACTIONS IDENTIFIED
- LUNCH/AFTERNOON MTG.S (TUES/WED PREFERRED)
- REPORT TO CC/PB? REGULAR UPDATES UNDER MATTERS
- RECOMMENDATIONS? VETTING ISSUES, GIVING THOUGHTS ON COST, VIABILITY, ETC. PRIORITIZE? ANALYZE? ID WHAT NOT TO DO. DON'T FOCUS ON VOTING. HELP STAFF MAKE A RECOMMENDATION REVISIT AGAIN – ID AREAS OF AGREEMENT!
- MORE DATA COLLECTION? DATA IS NOT PERFECT AND MANY DECISIONS NOT DATA DEPENDENT.

SCOPE OF WORK/SUCCESS

1. GOAL – ENRICH RATHER THAN ERODE
   - → TOTAL #, NOT JUST DEED RES. IN 5 YRS.
   - → PERCENT OF TOTAL UNITS (SIMILAR TO 10% FOR LOW AND MOD)
   - → MARKET V. DEED RESTRICTION
   - → ANNEXATION SMALL COMPONENT
   - → PRESERVATION V. NEW CONSTRUCTION
   - → ZONING AND LAND USE IMPORTANT
   - → RENTAL V. OWNERSHIP
   - → TYPES OF UNITS (SIZE, LOCATION, ETC.)

(2)

- WHERE DOES FUNDING COME FROM?
  (DEED RES., PRESERVATION, RENTAL)
- IS REDUCING IN-COMMUTERS PART OF GOAL?
- GOAL # WILL BE ARBITRARY?
- MARKET IS DIFFICULT TO MEASURE
- CITIES DO WELL W/ A DIVERSITY OF PEOPLE AND INCOMES
- DIVERSITY OF HOUSING TYPES HAS BETTER CHANCE OF MAINTAING AFFORDABILITY
- MEASURE AND TARGET DIVERSITY! HOUSING (PA; MARKET)

(2) FUNDING

- TOO EARLY?
- ID FUNDING STATEGIES AND EFFECTIVE-NESS
  (ARE PEOPLE INTERESTED?)
- FUNDING EXPERIENCES FROM ELSEWHERE
- START W/ NON-FUNDING INTERVENTIONS
- DOES IT MAKE A DIFFERENCE IN SOMEONE'S LIFE?
- SUPPLY/DEMAND OF HOUSING DISCUSSED
- ANY FUNDING MECH. NEEDS TO BE REALISTIC AND BASED ON MARKET REALITY

(3) COMMUNITY BENEFIT
(NEXT TIME)

NEXT MTG.

3

- ID TOOLS FROM TOOLKIT (START TO ANALYZE, DISCUSS, AND BEGIN TO PRIORITIZE)
- CONSIDER PILOTS / CLEAR GUIDELINES AND OTHER MECHANISMS
- HELP COMMUNITY SEE BENEFITS TO GAIN COMMUNITY TRUST

PUBLIC COMMENT

- DISTRIBUTIVE HOUSING, SINGLE-PLEX HOUSING, PASSING 301