Working Group's Shortlist of Tools

- A1. Accessible Housing
- A2. Accessory Dwelling Units
- A4. Cooperative Housing
- * A6. Senior Housing Options
- * B1. Home Rehab. Loan Program
- C3. Preservation of Rental Affordability
- * E1. Bonuses for higher affordability & certain housing types
- * E2. Fee reductions, expedited review process, &/or modification of standards
- E6. Occupancy Limits

Comment: How many persons would each of these impact? (Use this as a filter. "Return on effort" - bang for your buck.

NITS

ONS

ROGRAM

TAL AFFORDABILITY

AFFORDABILITY

TYPES

PEDITED

/OR MODIFICATION

UY PERSONS

E IMPACT?

ER. "RETURN ON

FOR YOUR BUCK.

#1

Speaker Panel Debrief

Key take away

3 priorities (K. Chapple)

- "You already have it" → toolkit
- Doing well

* Preservation & Existing (new transit)
  - LAND TRUSTS
  - ADUs/DAU
  - less demos
  - fewer evictions
  - State-forced legislation (e.g. "rent control")
* Political synergy around housing issue
  - lots of energy
* shift in clicker responses
* perpetual conversation (Housing)
  - comfort
  - not unique to Boulder

* ADD TO SUMMARY.

* PANEL was skewed toward staying same
* Not thoughtful on Coops
* Spontaneous applause → Golden Girls Scenario

* PSYCHOLOGY OF CHANGE
  - ONE option is to limit change
  - people living up in change/no change camps

Growth not discussed logically

* Comment re: need to know the implication of diff. economic routes
* Comparison to S.F./large elitist communities
  - Why not look @ Houston, Detroit?

* What does no growth look like? (loss of revenue, etc.)

* Book Way we treat older residents is off
  - Why not more multi-gen?

* Are we looking at the wrong tools?" (AUDIENCE member)
  - Acknowledge in Summary → LONG TERM PROCESS

A6. Senior Housing Opt

(SEE AGENDA PACKET PG 4 -

- To represent community view tax relief.
  - Expand existing Progra
  - Explore partnership
  - Fee Rebate passed on

- Bundle #4 + #5 on Implem

- Stage 3 development - R
  - Compatability Matrix
  - Look @ other shar Platforms. (Eg. Ai

A6. Senior Housing

- Student/senior part
  - Purpose built
  - Pilot BVSD City
  - CU to develop N. to increase dens

Example

- Partnering w/ Faith-Bas
  - Shared facilities
  - Eliminate parking units

E2. Fee Reductions, E

Review process, and/or mo of STDs.

(Refer to toolkit for impleme

- How do make sure these t to the resident (i.e. affo

- Covenants for age affor
  - → to strengthen
  - Must be a community go
  - Make pathway for these easier to encourage t

#1

A6. Senior Housing Options

(SEE AGENDA PACKET PG 4 - ITEMS IN RED.)

- To represent community view must consider tax relief.
  - Expand existing Programs
  - Explore partnership
  - Fee Rebate passed on to resident

- Bundle #4 & #5 on Implementation options

- Stage 3 development - Roommate Pairing
  - Compatability Matrix tool
  - Look @ other sharing Economy Platforms. (Eg. Air B+B)

#2

A6. Senior Housing Options

- Student/ senior partnership w/CU
  - Purpose built
  - Pilot Boulder City/CU
  - CU to develop N. of Boulder Creek to increase density

Example

- Partnering w/ Faith-based Community
  - Shared facilities
  - Eliminate parking to build senior units

#1

#1

ED
ON
OPTNS.)
ATE
LTY)
UM
JECTS
K CREATION
UST

#1

E1. BONUSES FOR HIGHER AFFORDABILITY & CERTAIN HOUSING TYPES

- WOULD HOUSING DISTINGUISH BY....?
  - DESIGN
  - AGE RESTRICTION
- CODE MODIFIED TO ACCOMPLISH TODAY'S GOALS. IT IS CURRENTLY FLEXIBLE.
  - ↳ THERE ARE A LIMITED # IN EXISTENCE
  - COULD HAPPEN IN GROWING OR DENSE AREAS.
- SET UP DENSITY BONUSES
- WRINKLES BASED UPON ECONOMIC DIFFERENCES OF RESIDENTS IN MIXED HIGH RENT VS. AFFORDABLE.
  HOA DUES

#2

E1. BONUSES FOR HIGHER AFFORDABILITY

...

- RECOMMENDATIONS FROM 'AFFORDABLE HOUSING TASKFORCE' (TOOL F1.)

E2. Fee Reductions, Expedited Review process, &/or modification of stds.

(Refer to toolkit for implementation opts.)

- How do make sure these translate to the resident (i.e. affordability)
- Covenants for age affordability
  - ↳ to strengthen
  - · must be a community goal.
  - · Make pathway for these projects easier to encourage their creation
- Why can't streamlining just happen across the board?
- Relates more to developers

E1. Bonuses F
& Certain

- Would housing
  - · Design
  - · Age Restr
- Code modified
  Goals. It is c
  - ↳ there are
  - · could happen
- Set up Density
- Wrinkles based
  HOA dues

E1. Bonuses
...

- Recommendation
  Housing Task

B1. Home Rehab. Loan Program

#1

- Close to tool A1 - Funding Restrictions? → Single family
  Synergistic w/ Affordability.
  * Q: Who takes advantage?
    - Is it an entitlement program?
    4 - Expand the funding

A3. Co-Housing

- Discussion of difference btwn A3 + A4 tools
- Eco pass requirement?
- City revisit Co-op ordinance
- No barriers it Co-Housing · not necessarily affordable.
- A3. is a good model - no barriers to developing.

Additional / New Tools to add to the Shortlist

A3. Co-Housing

NEW Robust Roommate Pairing Platform

NEW Partnerships to bring C.U. students + Seniors together
→ NORCs + "Village" Concepts

NEW Tax Relief

NEW Age Improvement Districts

SELECTION CRITERIA MATRIX #1
(REFER TO AGENDA PACKET PG 8-9)

- TRANSPARENCY HOW WE USED OR CONSIDERED THESE CRITERIA - PRIORITY. THAT WAS GIVEN
- 1 THING THAT DIDN'T MAKE IT - DOES IT REQUIRE ADDITIONAL FUNDING
  ↳ MORE APPROPRIATELY HANDLED AT ANOTHER LEVEL
- # OF PERSONS IT WILL IMPACT VS. MOST IN NEED
- #13 IS A GOOD METRIC
- PRIORITIZE THE 'NEEDS'

SELECTION CRITERIA MATRIX #2

- GOAL SHOULD DIRECT PRIORITY

* - RENTERS, LOW INCOME, ALONE, VERY OLD
INCORPORATE INTO #1 ↳ REFER BACK TO ORIGINAL LIST MADE OF VULNERABLE POPULATIONS

- 2 TIER - QUALITY VS. QUANTITY
- HOW MANY INDIVIDUALS DOES IT REACH RATHER THAN HOUSEHOLDS

AGENDA

- [x] Overview / Logistics — 5 mins.
- [x] Speaker Panel Debrief — 15 mins.
- [x] Short List of Tools Discussion + New Tools/Concepts — 50 mins
- [x] Review DRAFT Working Group Summary — 20 mins
- [x] Selection Criteria Matrix — 10 mins
- [x] Code for America + Community Engagement Tools — 10 mins
- [ ] Public Comment — 10 mins

Review of WG Summary

A1 \
A6 / Important tools

- Group in favor of keeping full list will prioritize @ next meeting.

- Add more options to accessability
- Tweak 'working group approach' statement
  - Real or perceived barriers.

- Reflect more - Incentives, Strengths of Senior Community, etc. (bring this up to approach)
  - Look at positives.

Post-it
Easel Pad
Tableau à feuilles mobiles
Block de Hojas Reposicionables
Super Sticky
Super Collant
Super Adhesivas
5.2 ft² (2.5 ft x 2.08 ft)
5.2 pi²/ft² (2.5 pi/ft x 2.08 pi/ft)
Cont. 1 block de 30 hojas
3M

PUBLIC COMMENT

* mobility services → 1,200 served, very LI served
  ↳ don't separate where people live w/ where they want/need to go
  transportation + Housing not SEPARATE
* metrics - important for decision making
* Panel message: how much growth & what kind do we want in community. May be useful to look in other communities
* Section 8 home purchase program
  - interest in this option
  - 5th move since flood
* value of own vs. rent: Condos more dominant in S.F. HOA fees are significant issue. Coops have Diversity. Prefer multi-gen for Cohousing

Public Comment

* ADUs ~~DAU Bot~~ req'd in kitchen Allow full
* Metrics - range of needs ~~---~~ then impact relative

*E.V. can help

* Incentivize retrofits to have multiple(+) benefit outcomes
- Creative Arch response