

**11/16/2015 North TSA Preliminary Scenario Open Space Board of Trustees (OSBT) Study Session  
Feedback and How it was Addressed in North TSA Revised Scenarios**

<b>JODER</b>		
<b>Area/Topic</b>	<b>Feedback</b>	<b>How Addressed in Revised Scenarios</b>
Six Mile Fold	Support for working with the county to manage access	Retained in both scenarios
HCA Designation	Support for HCA. (Several OSBT members supported this designation including additional trail access)	Retained in both scenarios
Trails	Limited trails, one loop ( <i>one board member supported two loops</i> ), interim trail, possibly add trails to east side of property	Scenario A includes a double stacked loop system. Scenario B includes a separated two loop system (with one of the loops on the east side of the property tying into an existing historic equestrian trail.
Joder Trailhead	Concern with adding parking at the Cox property	New alternative added to Scenario B with parking at the Dagle property.
Interim Trailhead	Support for expanding parking at the existing interim trailhead.	Space constraints make expansion unfeasible; therefore, it's not recommended in either scenario
Interim trail	Support for rerouting interim trail ( <i>one board member supported this reroute if there is a single track parallel to the existing road portion of the interim trail</i> )	A small piece of the western area of the Interim Joder Trail is being rerouted in both scenarios. Scenario B includes a larger reroute of the eastern portion of the Interim Joder Trail.
Horse, bike,	There should be a double loop: one for bikes and one for pedestrians, both for equestrians.	The stacked loops in Scenario A include directional restrictions for bikes and seasonal closures for dogs. The separated loops in Scenario B include temporal separation for bikes (no access Tuesday and Sunday) and spatial separation for dogs with leashed access allowed on

		eastern loop and no dog access allowed on western loop.
Dog access	Support for some Voice and Sight ( <i>one board member supported Voice and Sight on interim trail</i> ) ( <i>one board member supported on leash on interim trail</i> )	Leashed dog access is proposed for the Interim Trail in both scenarios.
Underpass	Support for underpass ( <i>one board member supported parking on east side</i> )	Included in Scenario A

<b>WEST BEECH</b>		
<b>Area/Topic</b>	<b>Feedback</b>	<b>How Addressed in Revised Scenarios</b>
Railroad Grade	Need to address and clearly explain what happens with this trail. If it doesn't become part of a connector trail will it remain a trail or be restored?	Portion of railroad grade remains open in Scenario A as an out and back trail. Railroad grade is incorporated into the connector trail in Scenario B.
Connector	Mixed views on connection on west or east side.	Scenario A includes an east side connection. Scenario B includes a west side connection.
Regional Connections	Support for documenting that it is worth re-visiting the Buckingham Park connectivity options for future connections over to Heil Valley (dependent on if/when we acquire more land in this area)	Included in both scenarios

<b>FOOTHILLS/HOGBACK AREA</b>		
<b>Area/Topic</b>	<b>Feedback</b>	<b>How Addressed in Revised Scenarios</b>
Hogback	Support for current trail	Trail is being rerouted in both scenarios to improve sustainability.
Hogback	Extend loop down to railroad grade	Further staff assessment and evaluation of this action indicate the length of additional trail in the North Foothills HCA, terrain, and resource impacts make this an action staff isn't recommending in either of the scenarios.

Hogback	Support for no dogs	Dogs remain not allowed on Hogback in both scenarios.
Hogback	Support for keeping as one loop, reroute for sustainability	Included in both scenarios
Hogback	Support for horse access	Horse access is allowed in Scenario A
Foothills	Support for rerouting steep section of Foothills Trail	Included in both scenarios

<b>BOULDER VALLEY RANCH</b>		
<b>Area/Topic</b>	<b>Feedback</b>	<b>How Addressed in Revised Scenarios</b>
Longhorn Rd.	Support for paving roadway	Included in both scenarios
Sage Trailhead	Lack of support for relocating and support for improving horse trailer parking in area (trailhead or at ranch)	Sage Trailhead is remaining in its current location and being upgraded in both scenarios. Adding horse trailer parking at ranch is included in Scenario A.
Eagle Trail	Support for rerouting steep section	Included in both scenarios
BVR Ranch	Support for placing information signs to increase awareness for agricultural operations and equipment needed for agriculture	Included in both scenarios
Riding arena sign	Support for clarifying public access	Included in both scenarios
BVR Trails	Improve biking opportunities (temporal regulations)	Bikes are allowed on most BVR trails, except for the nature study trail north of Mesa Reservoir in both scenarios. Temporal regulations were included in other locations to minimize visitor conflict.
BVR Trails	Support for parallel single track	Included in Scenario A
BVR Trails	Support for redevelopment and simplification of trail network	Included in both scenarios
Eagle Trailhead	Support for horse trailer parking (at Eagle Trailhead and wherever possible)	Included in both scenarios
Horse Access	Support for off-trail ( <i>one board member had concern about off-trail horse access</i> )	Included in both scenarios

Lefthand Trail	Support for improvements to the trail for sustainability and experience	Retained in both scenarios
Boulder Reservoir trail connection	Support for working with Parks and Recreation	Included in both scenarios
North Rim/Axelson	Support for this connection	Not recommended in either scenario, connection through Boulder Reservoir is the recommended connection option in both scenarios.
Papini Connection	Support for connection	Retained in both scenarios
Area III connection	Support for connection	Included in Scenario B

<b>WONDERLAND LAKE</b>		
<b>Area/Topic</b>	<b>Feedback</b>	<b>How Addressed in Revised Scenarios</b>
Fourmile Trailhead	Staff return with recommendation on equestrian parking at Fourmile Trailhead	Staff assessed situation and recommend not adding trailer parking due to space constraints, costs for modifications and complexity of access off of Leehill into trailhead.
Fourmile Trailhead	Look at safety improvements for turn-in off Leehill for Fourmile Trailhead	See above
Loop Trail	Support for loop	Retained in both scenarios
Old Kiln link	Support for Old Kiln link	Further staff assessment and evaluation of this action indicate the level of trail construction required, complexity, safety issues and cost make this an action staff isn't recommending in either of the scenarios
Bikes on Old Kiln	Lack of support for bikes on Old Kiln	Action not being recommended in either scenario
Old Kiln	Support for not replacing Old Kiln	Retained in both scenarios

Trail		
Old Kiln Spur	Spur trail OK....“old and near and dear” feature	Retained in both scenarios
Access Fourmile Creek	Support for access for education at Fourmile Creek	Retained in both scenarios
Shade Structure	Support for shade structure while being mindful of resource impact and viewshed	Included in both scenarios
Cattail Access	Support for cattail access	Included in both scenarios
Dog Regs	Concern about the success of Voice and Sight on corridor (keep on leash)	Dog access is leashed around Wonderland Lake and on Foothills Trail and Voice and Sight on corridor on Wonderland Hill loops and Old Kiln in Scenario A. Dog access is leashed in Scenario B.
Dog Regs	Support for improved Voice and Sight opportunities/experience, on corridor on loops is good	Dog access is Voice and Sight on corridor on Wonderland Hill loops and Old Kiln in Scenario A.
Horse Access	Support for horse access	Horse access is allowed around Wonderland Lake and on Foothills Trail in Scenario A. Horse access is allowed in Scenario B.

<b>NORTHERN PROPERTIES</b>		
<b>Area/Topic</b>	<b>Feedback</b>	<b>How Addressed in Revised Scenarios</b>
Northern Properties	Support for Scenario 4 recommendations	Retained in Scenario B