

Gregory Canyon Creek Open House Comments: June 12, 2014

Name	Address	flooding problems	suggestions	dedicate easement	comments
Charlotte Smokler	742 Marine St.	My entire lawn, front and back, was flooded.		I would need more details . My back yard has beautiful trees. I would hate to see them uprooted. But I need more details what an easement would involve.	

Online Comments Received June to October 2014

name	address	flooding problems	suggestions	dedicate easement	comments
Eric Cornell	745 University Ave.	<p>1. There was extensive flooding at the entrance to the culvert at the northeast corner of our property (745 University Ave.) The water overtopped the culvert opening and flowed, swift and deep, over the surface, off in the direction of 8th and Marine. □</p> <p>2. There was water streaming over the surface along the property line that runs along the west side of our property, between our house and our neighbors to the west. □</p> <p>3. There was a lot of water running along University Ave and the adjacent sidewalk in front (south) of our house, flowing east. As it passed our house it turned left (north) and flowed over the property of our neighbor to the east, Stewart Machle, and then along his foundation, damaging his yard and his house.</p>	My main concern is that mitigation should proceed from Boulder Creek up, and not from Chautauqua Meadows down. If you enlarge a bunch of culverts and broaden a bunch of channels upstream from University Ave before you do that for University Ave and downstream, the flood will hit the culvert under University Ave with explosive force and could cause major structural damage or loss of life in the houses nearby.	yes	I would want to see the plan before dedicating the easement, but I am very open to the idea.
H R Totten	633 College Ave, Boulder, CO 80302	I witnessed Gregory Creek at both Pennsylvania and College Ave... What a world of difference between the design of the two waterways... The people who built the College Bridge in the 40's had it ""right""... wide enough to not accumulate debris (would snap almost anything spanning the opening). High enough to handle all that came at it with room to spare (almost bank to bank in the channel)... At Pennsylvania, the two culverts simply collected debris and ""self destructed"". (Kudos to the engineers of days past for the College Ave bridge. Too bad someone paved over the original storm drain within in the structure though)... Just an observation which you may wish to ponder... Thanks for all you do and for all the hard work! Hal	I think you have plenty to do without additions...	no	
Keith L Pearen	637 Pennsylvania Ave	Pennsylvania Avenue and 7th street culverts were problem areas during past flood events.	Pennsylvania Ave pedestrian bridge.	yes	If flood improvements bring my house out of FEMA 100yr floodplain.

Jean Dubofsky	1000 Rosehill Dr.	The Sept. flood and all of your maps come along the bottom of our driveway. During the flood, the city diverted water down 6th St. and onto Rosehill Dr. This flooded some of the houses below us on Rosehill. I walked to 6th and Euclid and told the bulldozer driver that his efforts to prevent so much water going along Gregory Creek were creating additional problems along Rosehill. He didn't know what to do other than what he'd been told to do.			
Charles Corfield	1366 Seventh St.				Please pass on my thanks (to Jerry Weitzel amongst others) for the recent repairs to the alley on the south side of my house. The new entry across the sidewalk and the layer of blacktop look great.
Kirk Watson	828 University	Shallow flooding < 12" during event.	<p>YES!!!<input type="checkbox"/></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Nowhere in this study does it indicate an analysis of the predictive nature of the model and the REALITY of what happened during the flood event. Most residents could indicate depths of water during the flood at maximum height and approximate times. Didn't you ask to SEE IF THE MODEL WAS CORRECT??? This is a waste of money unless correlated with reality. I cannot believe the statement on pg.4:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>""No other changes were made to the baseline model to create the existing conditions HEC-RAS model for the purpose of this analysis.""</p>	no	You should check to see if neighbors have increased the elevation of their property since the 1987 mapping to see if they increase or decrease risk of property damage to neighbors. Since the flood I notice flood walls being erected. What is that going to do to the model?
Paul Shankman	704 pleasant	7th near pleasant	Enlarge the culvert, and reshape 7th so water flows down the middle of the road, not just to the east side.	maybe depending on easement plans	

Additional Online Public Comments Received

name	address	open house comments	suggestions	dedicate easement	comments
Julia Wrapp	932 Arapahoe, boulder, CO. 80302	I missed the open house but would request consideration of Gregory creek flowing out of its banks, running down 9th street, flowing into the historic church property (law office at 9th / Arapahoe) collections in the NE corner of the parking lot and then flooding 932 arapahoe		yes	It would be nice if the city encouraged neighbors to work together on mitigation issues. My neighbors will not even speak to me concerning this ongoing flood problem generated from drainage issues in their parking lot. <input type="checkbox"/>