

Email Feedback Received 10/8/2015-10/15/2015 on Preliminary Scenario 3

Interests Commented on in Scenario 3	
Improved Visitor Experience	If N-S trail connection ends up east of 36, ridership will be sparse because the experience is not good and there are already dirt roads there that provide this connection. Consider compromise like at Betasso. Keep N-S connector west of 36, but maybe close it to bikes a few days a week.
	Supported loop trails on Joder to disperse opportunities for hikers and riders while minimizing footprints of trails over wide areas.
	Create a trail on Joder that offers a loop and connections in different directions.
	Liked the multi-use Joder loop trail (and suggested/would like to see future connection to Heil)
	Liked the Joder loop for bike access.
Improved Connectivity	If N-S trail connection ends up east of 36, ridership will be sparse because the experience is not good and there are already dirt roads there that provide this connection. Consider compromise like at Betasso. Keep N-S connector west of 36, but maybe close it to bikes a few days a week.
	N-S connection on east side of 36 is not ideal. Not as interesting terrain as west side, not as safe- requiring crossing 36.
	If N-S connector is east of 36, consider creating a loop from 36 through BVR to Joder and then back on a separate trail to reduce visitor conflict. Two trails on the east of 36 would create less habitat disruption than a trail on the west side. Consider: from Joders going to the Beech Pavilion (with the existing connection to the Lefthand Trailhead following the existing Lefthand Trail to BVR and using some configuration to the east of dry lake to get to the Foothills parking lot on 36. Going the other direction you might head more north to the west side of the ranch and have a trail closer to 36 that eventually again takes you to the Beech Pavilion where you could have a short stretch of side by side trail to take you back to the Joder property.
	Don't like the proposed N-S connection on east side of 36 because the elevation change from North Foothills at Hogback Ridge to Lefthand and back to the saddle at Interim Joder is too large for pleasant climbing and safe descent without significant redesign and land purchases.

Interests Commented on in Scenario 3	
	<p>Suggest keeping the trail higher and more level west of 36. If west of 36 connection isn't made, please consider creating a new trail alignment east of 36 designed for visitor experience, for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • provide a trail for bikes that doesn't require gravel paths and dirt roads • consider a trail that crosses Broadway instead of following it coming from North Foothills- then heads towards Cobalt, routing west of Cobalt and avoiding the climb and subsequent steep descent to Sage before it crosses Longhorn Rd • Consider creating a more sustainable, bike-friendly route paralleling Lefthand to the west and providing the opportunity for a shorter loop with Lefthand or west of 36 trail. Contract out to professional trail designers for sustainability and visitor experience (also focusing on safety and decreasing visitor conflict). • In order to safely and effectively connect this (or any other east of 36 trail) with Joder, the gravel road leading to the saddle needs to be redesigned as a real trail with a longer, switchbacked climb. This climb is too steep for many riders and the fast descent on gravel is unsafe. <p>Liked the multi-use Joder loop trail (and suggested/would like to see future connection to Heil)</p>
Balance of Recreation and Resource Conservation	Supported loop trails on Joder to disperse opportunities for hikers and riders while minimizing footprints of trails over wide areas.
Improved Access and Accessibility	<p>If N-S connector is east of 36, consider creating a loop from 36 through BVR to Joder and then back on a separate trail to reduce visitor conflict. Two trails on the east of 36 would create less habitat disruption than a trail on the west side. Consider: from Joders going to the Beech Pavilion (with the existing connection to the Lefthand Trailhead following the existing Lefthand Trail to BVR and using some configuration to the east of dry lake to get to the Foothills parking lot on 36. Going the other direction you might head more north to the west side of the ranch and have a trail closer to 36 that eventually again takes you to the Beech Pavilion where you</p>

Interests Commented on in Scenario 3	
	could have a short stretch of side by side trail to take you back to the Joder property.
	Create a trail on Joder that offers a loop and connections in different directions.
	Suggested adding directional loops like Betasso or having uses allowed on alternate days.
	Support for voice and sight dog access on proposed Wonderland Lake re-routed trails.
Increased Safety	
	N-S connection on east side of 36 is not ideal. Not as interesting terrain as west side, not as safe- requiring crossing 36.
Decreased Visitor Conflict	
	If N-S trail connection ends up east of 36, ridership will be sparse because the experience is not good and there are already dirt roads there that provide this connection. Consider compromise like at Betasso. Keep N-S connector west of 36, but maybe close it to bikes a few days a week.
	If N-S connector is east of 36, consider creating a loop from 36 through BVR to Joder and then back on a separate trail to reduce visitor conflict. Two trails on the east of 36 would create less habitat disruption than a trail on the west side. Consider: from Joders going to the Beech Pavilion (with the existing connection to the Lefthand Trailhead following the existing Lefthand Trail to BVR and using some configuration to the east of dry lake to get to the Foothills parking lot on 36. Going the other direction you might head more north to the west side of the ranch and have a trail closer to 36 that eventually again takes you to the Beech Pavilion where you could have a short stretch of side by side trail to take you back to the Joder property.
	Supported loop trails on Joder to disperse opportunities for hikers and riders while minimizing footprints of trails over wide areas.
	Suggested adding directional loops like Betasso or having uses allowed on alternate days.

The following interests were not commented on in email feedback received on Scenario 3:

- Conservation of Resources
- Honoring Community Values and Commitments
- Increased Education and Understanding
- Effective Planning Process and Plan Implementation