	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
1	Meeting Time: Are you available to attend meetings from 3:30 to 5:30 p.m. on a regular basis?	Location: Do you have any suggestions for other meeting locations that would pertain to this planning process?	Structure: Typically these meetings have one hour of staff updates and information followed by one hour of working group members creating a product or providing input. Do you feel this structure is productive? [Row 1]	Structure: What aspects of this process have been most useful or valuable?	Structure: How would you improve these meetings? Please describe what you hope your recommendations will achieve.	Structure: Would you like to host or co-host a session to delve into something you're eager to learn from the group? If so, please write your name and topic area below:	My Role: I have participated in a community engagement event as part of this process.	My Role: I have shared information learned at Working Group meetings with my network, neighbors or community.	My Role: I use the following tools to share information learned at Working Group meetings with my network, neighbors or community (please select all that apply):	My Role: I would like more information about how to share information about the East Boulder Subcommunity Plan with my neighbors, network and/or community.
2	Yes	San Lazaro - perhaps in the offices / meeting house	Fair to middling	Guidance by city staff	i think that it would be good to have a time in each meeting in which general input from participants on any issue of interest or concern to individuals could be discussed		Yes	Yes	Informal conversations	Yes
3	Yes	no	Fair to middling	The most valuable parts for me is when someone speaks at the beginning, like the airport people talking about the airport, etc.	We need more time for the working group member creating a product or providing input. Even 15 more minutes would help!	Not at this time	Yes	Yes	City Council Webpage - (https://bouldercolorado.gov/city-council), Community Meetings (HOA meetings, neighborhood meetings, office park meetings), Community Newsletters or Forms (neighborhood list-servs, NextDoor), Informal conversations	Yes
4	Yes	No	Pretty darn good	Information and discussion time	need more time for sharing our though! I think it would also be helpful for us to see the notes before they get posted to identify any points of potential confusion (the feedback we got about creating access roads to flatirons). Also a look at what we achieve at the next session. Also clarify role for observers. I was surprised to have them participate in the breakouts last month.	No	No	Yes	Twitter, Mostly ad hoc conversations.	Yes
5	Yes	JCC or Naropa	Pretty darn good	I enjoy working with different members of the group on focus topics.	I like the structure, believe the topic is very challenging for a number of people in the room and believe our current structure doesn't allow a single person or group in the room to monopolize time.	No and I appreciate the offer. Thank you -	No	Yes	Community Meetings (HOA meetings, neighborhood meetings, office park meetings), East Boulder Subcommunity Plan webpage - (https://bouldercolorado.gov/planning/east-boulder), Informal conversations	No
6	Yes	Locations are fine. Would prefer more of a round table arrangement for working group discussions. Don't want small groups.	Cringe	I'm sorry, but not much has been useful. I don't want to be hurtful, but if you want honesty, this is it. Not saying staff does not have my support. They do. The airport presentation was good information. I'm sure many of us were not aware of the activities and businesses there. But, there could have been much more information. Also, is there any connection between that meeting and efforts to close the airport? Should we have been told if there is? Is that one of the things about which we will offer opinions? Would knowing that have been helpful? Should there have been a discussion of that afterwards, instead of drawing bike and bus lines and paths on a map? Will there eventually be such a discussion? Should we have more than one opportunity for input on all topics? Why was the meeting agenda not more detailed so we would know about the presentations in advance. (Was it recorded in case any of us would like to hear it again?) In fact, if we had known ahead of time, we could have done more independent reading and asked better questions. If we had known the location was chosen for a reason other than that none others were available, more of us might have done some preparation. The planning 101 presentation was good for those who have not been involved in details of planning much. Almost everything else has been too limited to provide any new information for opinions or decisions. We are left with our preconceived opinions and original motives for applying for this group.	Much more detail. More written information to keep and review. More opportunity for whole group discussions. More opportunity to ask for additional information before drawing things on maps. More detailed advanced agenda. Reading, study and other assignments we can do on our own. Providing more sources of information to make it easier to find. Advance notice of what opinions and input you will be asking so it is not just the first off the cuff thought that we have where to draw a path or put an X. Advance notice would also give us the opportunity to gather thoughts from our neighbors. Drawing lines for paths and bike connections and putting X's on maps to designate b-cycle drops and bus stops is not what we should be doing to produce a subcommunity plan. That is based only on our personal (and incomplete) knowledge of CURRENT USES. What about FUTURE USES? Will our input even be applicable? This seems backwards. I've wondered if there is a concern about losing control of the group. Yes, you will lose control, in terms of careful orchestration of the input and even guiding input. But what you are getting is not really working-group work. I'm sure we will all remain civil. It will not turn into a free-for-all. We need an open discussion of interests and needs in this subcommunity plan.	Probably. With a couple of other members or with staff? Not sure what was meant. ----- However, you don't need me as a co-host. Just ask for opinions re what we need. My input would initially involve gathering questions from participants Answering them will require information from Staff. I don't think many people in the group have it at their finger tips or could contribute more than anecdotal information. Of course, we could gather opinions from the group also. ---- I would need to narrow it down to specifics, starting with going back over inventory, current ownership, current uses, and what current owners have told us they City they want. That would include more than just a number for jobs, but what jobs and businesses are already there, where, number of employees, pay rates, where employees live now, how people are using what transportation now, where they are commuting from. It would include what the people actually working there see as the needs, not what do we who don't work there think they need. ----- Then I would want to know what are the possibilities for new development, businesses and housing, and more details about where, how much, etc. What would the impacts be and what additional urban services and infrastructure would be needed. What would other impacts be. It's silly to draw bike connections on a map when current uses may be replaced in the near future. There could be more information about possible internal transportation possibilities, things such as shuttles. ----- I still have the same questions I did on the first day. I am concerned that we are a third of the way through the 18 months of working group meetings. I regret I refrained from comment regarding the inventory step being finished. It was a surprise to see that memo. I did not want to be the one criticizing the effort, but having gone through a third of the process with no more meaningful information or idea where this is headed is concerning. The quality of the meetings should determine the time frame. If you really want legitimate working group input, it may take longer. If this is just a charade, continue as is. ----- In the public outreach part, we could take meaningful surveys and ask informative questions. For example, it would be more meaningful to ask employees, in a way that could be sorted by jobs and income, whether they would live in large rental apartment complexes rather than commute, not just whether they would live in the East Boulder subcommunity area. Would it make a difference if they were condos they could purchase, but still large complexes. Would mixed use appeal to them? I don't see that info being available from the community outreach responses. ----- We should also ask employees about the shortcomings of existing transportation, everything from buses to bike paths. How far will they walk for lunch? What other convenience retail they use without driving? Do we need more coffee shops? Or just more lunch restaurants? Has that been done? May we see the results? ---- The group needs a clear understanding of what staff and City Council think are unchangeable designations of the BVCP, and what we are allowed to (suggest) change. It is not clear. In part it is not clear because the residents have resisted previously proposed changes for the East Boulder corridor. Not much has changed on the plan since 2010. We are supposed to refine the details? We are allowed to change it, but only one direction? More growth, density, height? Add residential? Residential was omitted from the 2015 update, but now it is going to be OK to put it in? Looking at this, even without personal preferences, a lot of it does not make sense. --- Your next multi-choice question needs a place for comments. I am apparently not the only one who is still waiting for something interesting to share on social media. The airport presentation would have been good if there had been something in writing. It seems like there is nothing more than our own opinions and concerns that we had when this started. We are still being lead somewhere unknown and waiting to see what will be presented as our input when it gets interpreted and compiled.	No, but I plan to in the future	Yes	City Council Webpage - (https://bouldercolorado.gov/city-council), Community Meetings (HOA meetings, neighborhood meetings, office park meetings), Community Newsletters or Forms (neighborhood list-servs, NextDoor), East Boulder Subcommunity Plan webpage - (https://bouldercolorado.gov/planning/east-boulder), East Boulder Subcommunity Plan - Working Group webpage - (https://bouldercolorado.gov/planning/east-boulder-subcommunity-working-group), Informal conversations, Planning Board webpage - (http://bouldercolorado/gov/planning/east-boulder)	Yes

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
7	Meeting Time: Are you available to attend meetings from 3:30 to 5:30 p.m. on a regular basis?	Location: Do you have any suggestions for other meeting locations that would pertain to this planning process?	Structure: Typically these meetings have one hour of staff updates and information followed by one hour of working group members creating a product or providing input. Do you feel this structure is productive?	Structure: What aspects of this process have been most useful or valuable?	Structure: How would you improve these meetings? Please describe what you hope your recommendations will achieve.	Structure: Would you like to host or co-host a session to delve into something you're eager to learn from the group? If so, please write your name and topic area below:	My Role: I have participated in a community engagement event as part of this process.	My Role: I have shared information learned at Working Group meetings with my network, neighbors or community.	My Role: I use the following tools to share information learned at Working Group meetings with my network, neighbors or community (please select all that apply):	My Role: I would like more information about how to share information about the East Boulder Subcommunity Plan with my neighbors, network and/or community.
8	Yes	Boulder Chamber	Pretty darn good	Discussions with the working group members	It would be helpful to take these meetings to various employers in the East Boulder area (e.g., BCH, Ball) to talk with people onsite about their needs	Happy to host a discussion about housing, transportation or business topics.	Yes	Yes	Community Newsletters or Forms (neighborhood list-servs, NextDoor), East Boulder Subcommunity Plan webpage - (https://bouldercolorado.gov/planning/east-boulder), East Boulder Subcommunity Plan - Working Group webpage - (https://bouldercolorado.gov/planning/east-boulder-subcommunity-working-group)	Yes
9	Yes	I suggest a warehouse meeting	Pretty darn good	I love the staff presentation part. Learning about the City facilities in the area, the transportation master plan update, and the airport are very useful for understanding goals and existing circumstances. Staff support has been useful to keep groups on task and within the confines of this established work order.	I would encourage more education from the staff, planning board and or City Council Members. Perhaps getting to hear some first hand experience stories on how these area plans can influence future planning decisions would help to clarify our purpose, now that we're half way in to the process. It would be an important reiteration, as a group, to better understand the underlying importance of what we're working towards for the City.	I have a few people I know who office and work in the east boulder community. They are representative of the creatives and small business owners that we're interested in protecting and celebrating. I would love to host a session that involves them (and others)in some way.	No, but I plan to in the future	Yes	Informal conversations	Yes
10	Yes	How about a warehouse or community space like at BCH? Or Ozo off Central?	Room for improvement	The current neighborhood stats are really interesting and important for discussion. The staff is very approachable and knowledgeable.	I hope our recommendations result in a economically balanced neighborhood that serves a variety of lifestyles and interpretations. It respects renters, tiny home communities, single family homeowners, small businesses and craftspeople. My biggest concern is re-gentrification of the neighborhood that prioritizes narrow economic interests and displaces those who have helped create / support the community that currently exists.	From the group or for the group? Not sure I understand this question.	Yes	Yes	Community Meetings (HOA meetings, neighborhood meetings, office park meetings), Community Newsletters or Forms (neighborhood list-servs, NextDoor), East Boulder Subcommunity Plan webpage - (https://bouldercolorado.gov/planning/east-boulder), East Boulder Subcommunity Plan - Working Group webpage - (https://bouldercolorado.gov/planning/east-boulder-subcommunity-working-group), Informal conversations	Yes
11	No	No	Pretty darn good	Learning from and sharing with others	Perhaps allow people to join via video conference. Also, by varying the day of the week / time of day that we meet you will not always exclude the same people. I would prefer a Monday or Friday meeting since I cannot meet during the workday on Tuesdays, Wednesdays or Fridays.	Not at the moment	Yes	Yes	City Council Webpage - (https://bouldercolorado.gov/city-council), Community Newsletters or Forms (neighborhood list-servs, NextDoor), East Boulder Subcommunity Plan webpage - (https://bouldercolorado.gov/planning/east-boulder), East Boulder Subcommunity Plan - Working Group webpage - (https://bouldercolorado.gov/planning/east-boulder-subcommunity-working-group), Informal conversations	No
12	No	Could we get a meeting room in one of the buildings that has not-so-fancy office space so we could meet some of the smaller companies and better understand why it's so important to keep this type of space	Fair to middling	Generally good staff updates/info to provide context. Small group discussions have mostly been a good way to get the conversation started.	I think the group needs (much!) more time to really discuss the key issues, with staff support to answer questions. Not sure whether that would be best done with the full group (which is really too large for a conversation) or a few subgroups. But we haven't made the slightest dent in the key issues of how much/little (re)development we would like to see, how that might be divided between C/I and housing, how we could imagine "ensuring" nominally "affordable" office space is maintained, how a "neighborhood" could be constructed when there is nothing there now, how transportation issues could be resolved (and they can't be until the other decisions are made), etc.	I think we need to understand the trade-offs and pick our priorities: (affordable) housing, office space, neighborhood, growth, transportation...	No, but I plan to in the future	No	Informal conversations	No

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
13	Meeting Time: Are you available to attend meetings from 3:30 to 5:30 p.m. on a regular basis?	Location: Do you have any suggestions for other meeting locations that would pertain to this planning process?	Structure: Typically these meetings have one hour of staff updates and information followed by one hour of working group members creating a product or providing input. Do you feel this structure is productive? [Row 1]	Structure: What aspects of this process have been most useful or valuable?	Structure: How would you improve these meetings? Please describe what you hope your recommendations will achieve.	Structure: Would you like to host or co-host a session to delve into something you're eager to learn from the group? If so, please write your name and topic area below:	My Role: I have participated in a community engagement event as part of this process.	My Role: I have shared information learned at Working Group meetings with my network, neighbors or community.	My Role: I use the following tools to share information learned at Working Group meetings with my network, neighbors or community (please select all that apply):	My Role: I would like more information about how to share information about the East Boulder Subcommunity Plan with my neighbors, network and/or community.
14	Yes	Not at this time	Fair to middling	When time is kept, the structure provides valuable background information that helps us work better and more informed	Unfortunately, the structure isn't usually kept- I feel we only have 45 min or less to "work," so I think there could be a more productive format	I am not sure if this is a "session" as much as a request: there are a lot of hot-button issues in Boulder that people are very opinionated about, even within our group. I would like to see more open conversation about these issues, rather than glossing over them or assuming there is consensus. Issues like density, height limits, zoning changes, transportation, and land use. So I would like to see a facilitated session that is a safe space to discuss all the different opinions. There might not be ultimate consensus, but it could be a platform for a synthesis of ideas or at least for open dialogue.	Yes	Yes	Informal conversations	No
15	Yes	Continuing the theme of a different location within the workgroup has been very helpful in understanding the area and it's future needs much better.	Fair to middling	The introduction/educational piece of the meetings have been very helpful. I question how impactful we as members have been with our final exercises. Time is always short and can feel lacking in depth.	This is difficult. Perhaps we're spread to thin. While it's nice to get to know different issues and voice thoughts regarding all of the focused topics. It may be more helpful if members were assigned to one area for the remaining time of the workgroup.	Potentially. Will think about what this would look like and be in touch at one of the meetings.	No, but I plan to in the future	Yes	Informal conversations	Yes
16	Yes	The locations have been great! Maybe Ball Aerospace if they have meeting space, so that we can get a feel for that campus? San Lazaro, if they have a meeting space, since it's the only existing housing in the planning area?	Pretty darn good	It is all valuable - staff and guest speaker presentations, Q and A, and working with each other.	I sometimes feel I am being asked to give input on things I am not knowledgeable about, and sometimes most of the people in my group are not knowledgeable about the topic. Sometimes it might be nice to let us self-select a group to participate in where we feel we can add benefit. I am not sure if the group is being asked for consensus recommendations at the end of the day, or for some sense of majority / minority opinions and issues. All of our meetings so far - which have been great! - have been generating ideas without much discussion of pros and cons or what it would take to implement our ideas. We have not yet had any discussions that dig deep and help us understand benefits, costs, and potential impacts of any ideas we have generated. Will there be some process to narrow down, dig deep on details, and test consensus on recommendations? For example, I am hoping we can recommend a zoning change that allows / encourages multi-use development so that people can actually live in East Boulder, without displacing existing businesses that want to stay. I don't know the details of what would be required, what are the obstacles or concerns, what my fellow group members all think about it, and how to problem-solve around this so that we can deliver a strongly supported recommendation to Council, or at least give them the benefit of the outcomes of an informed community discussion.	I don't know what "hosting" entails and what I'd be signing up for, but I am very interested in going deeper on zoning for multi-use. I am not a zoning expert, or very knowledgeable about real estate, so we would need to bring in expertise.	Yes	Yes	Informal conversations	Yes